

**Paper: “Test de Germination des Graines de Ricin (*Ricinus communis* L.) Sous Différentes Contrainte Abiotiques”**

**Submitted: 04 May 2023**

**Accepted: 27 January 2024**

**Published: 31 January 2024**

Corresponding Author: Roger Bayala

Doi: /10.19044/esj.2024.v20n3p197

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

-----  
Reviewer A:

Recommendation: See Comments  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

Yes, the title is clear and is adequate to the content of the article.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

Yes, the abstract clearly présente objectifs, methods and results.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

The article is well written but I suggest some modification:

- In the INTRODUCTION, there is a sentence:

Cette culture était --perçu-- "perçue" comme un moyen de développement social, de réduction de la pauvreté des petits producteurs et de maintien de la fertilité des sols (Diédhiou et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2013).

- "Figure 1" is missing in the article.

- CVG and TMG formulas should not be written on the same line. Only one formulas for one line for a better comprehension.

- Values in the table should be written using comma "," inside of dot "." because the article is written in french not in english. For it is not the same meaning into the two languages.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

yes, methods are well explained

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

Just some careless mistake

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

The conclusion is clear

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

the list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate. Each in-text citation has been included in the list of references and vice versa.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Good article.

-----