

Paper: **“Profil Epidémiologique des Troubles Fonctionnels Intestinaux (TFI) Persistants au CHU de Conakry”**

Submitted: 10 December 2023

Accepted: 30 January 2024

Published: 31 January 2024

Corresponding Author: Diallo Mamadou Sarifou

Doi: /10.19044/esj.2024.v20n3p243

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Tamou Sambo Bio
Université de Parakou, Bénin

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: TAMOU SAMBO Bio	
University/Country: Parakou/Bénin	
Date Manuscript Received: 18/1/2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 25/1/2024
Manuscript Title: Profil évolutif et comorbidités des troubles fonctionnels intestinaux (TFI) persistants au CHU de Conakry	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 50/12/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3

<i>(Préférer Profil épidémiologique des troubles fonctionnels intestinaux (TFI) persistants au CHU de Conakry)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Respect des rubriques)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Des expressions sont à revoir de même que le style dans certaines parties)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(Donner les critères de diagnostic vu le faible taux de réalisation des examens complémentaires.)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2
<i>(Assez d'erreurs dans les fréquences)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(Acceptable)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: