

Paper: “Conflit intercommunautaire Arabe-Choa/Mousgoum et troubles d’attachement chez les adolescents de Logone Birni (Cameroun)”

Submitted: 13 August 2024

Accepted: 08 October 2024

Published: 31 October 2024

Corresponding Author: Sanawai David

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2024.v20n29p89

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Doblignon Gilchrist Brice
Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 30-04.2024	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Conflit intercommunautaire Arabe Choa Mousgoum et troubles d'attachement chez les adolescents de Logone Birni SANAWAI David, Département Philosophie et Psychologie, Université de Maroua, Cameroun	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/ No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le titre est clair. Revoir les mots clés de l'article	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Absence de l'objectif de l'étude. Revoir le résumé, la QR, la methode, les résultats	
2. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
Le texte comporte quelques fautes de grammaire.	

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Des erreurs dans la construction des phrases. Style un peu lourd; certaines phrases reviennent deux fois	
3. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La méthodologie est très lacunaire. L' auteur gagnerait à expliquer pourquoi c'est une <i>méthode</i> qualitative. Quel est l'objectif visé dans une recherche qualitative? On a l'impression qu'il y a trop de mélange dans l'étude. Quelle est la technique d'échantillonnage qui a été utilisé? Il ne peut pas utiliser la technique d'échantillonnage stratifié avec seulement 10 participants. Ensuite il est dans une recherche qualitative et il utilise la technique d'échantillonnage probaliste. Comment il a selectioner ses participant? S'il a des critères d'inclusion, cela voudrait dire que la technique d'échantillonnage par choix raisonnée serait indiquée pour ce genre de cas.	
4. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	1
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Les résultats ne sont pas clairs. Il a annoncé 10 participants mais n'a présenté aucun participant.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Revoir objectif de article au depart.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. <i>Revoir la mise en forme</i>	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Les references sont appropriées l'article</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: