

REVIEW OF ESTIMATION OF POLLUTION LOAD TO LAKE VICTORIA

Charles Cheruiyot, PhD Candidate
Victor Muhandiki, Prof.

Department of Civil Engineering,
Graduate School of Engineering Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan

Abstract

Lake Victoria is a shared East African transboundary freshwater lake. Its basin lies within Burundi, Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania and Uganda. In the past, studies and projects done on Lake Victoria focused mostly within the lake but recently they have extended to the basin to facilitate holistic understanding. Estimation of pollution load has been with respect to total nitrogen, total phosphorous and biodegradable organic matter which are considered to be critical pollution parameters. This study reviewed methods used in the past studies to estimate pollution load to Lake Victoria to highlight shortcomings and possible ways of improvement. The baseline models used in the methods were elaborated with respect to their merits and demerits. Methods used in the studies vary and their outcomes are of the same order of magnitude but with notably significant differences with respect to some pollution load parameters. The variances are mainly attributed to methods and scarce data used. Alternative methods that would improve the quality of the output by incorporating technology advancements in Remote Sensing and Geographical Information Systems (GIS) were also considered. GIS based tools are one of the options to overcome challenges in describing spatially and temporally varying environmental attributes.

Keywords: Data scarcity, Estimation method, Lake Victoria, Point and non-point source pollution

1. Introduction

Lake Victoria is a freshwater lake in East Africa and has surface and basin areas of 68,800 km² and 194,000 km² respectively. The lake is located at an altitude of 1,134 m asl and its average depth is 40 m while volume is 2,760 km³ (Muyodi, Bugenyi & Hecky, 2010; Scheren, Zanting & Lemmen, 2000). It is the second largest freshwater lake by surface area in the world and the largest in Africa. The lake is an economic zone to the three riparian

Estimation of pollution load to Lake Victoria has been carried out by several studies in the past. However, most of the findings of the studies are published in official reports that are not easily accessible to the general public. The main pollution parameters of concern considered in the studies are Total Nitrogen (TN), Total Phosphorous (TP) and Biodegradable organic matter (BOD). Estimation of pollution load has always been hampered by scarcity of data which adversely affects the accuracy and reliability of results. One of the recent comprehensive project is Lake Victoria Environmental Management Project, Phase I, LVEMP (1995-2005), which set a framework for future estimation of pollution load (COWI, 2002, LVEMP, 2005). Other similar past studies include: Bootsma H, Bootsma M & Hecky (1996), Calamari Akech & Ochumba (1995), Scheren (2005, 2003), Scheren, Bosboom, Njau & Lemmens (1995) and Scheren et al. (2000). Several weaknesses were noted in the studies, the major one being inadequate data (Cheruiyot, Muhandiki, Ballatore & Nakamura, 2011).

This paper reviews past efforts on estimation of pollution load to Lake Victoria and discusses their strengths and weaknesses in tandem with advancement in technology in hydrological modelling. The paper proposes possible methods based on Remote Sensing (RS) and Geographical Information Systems (GIS) modelling tools as options for future improvement. The paper also aims at sharing with a wide audience the existing efforts on estimation of pollution load to Lake Victoria, most of which are contained in publications that are not easily accessible to the general public.

2. Baseline Models in Existing Studies

This section reviews baseline models used in the reviewed studies to estimate both point and non-point pollution loads to Lake Victoria in terms of TN, TP and BOD. The models are elaborated below.

2.1 Standard Unit (per capita) Load

Standard unit load represents amount of annual load generated by one person or a unit of product. This approach is applicable to estimation of municipal and industrial pollution loads. For municipal load, populations are identified by their modes of waste disposal (for example; pit latrine, sewer and septic tank) with their corresponding standard per capita load (Eq. 1).

$$\text{Pollution Load (TN, TP and BOD)} = \text{Population} \times \text{Standard per Capita Load} \quad (1)$$

For industrial load, industries are identified by their periodic (say annual) production and matched with their corresponding Standard unit load for the product (Eq. 2).

$$\text{Pollution Load (TN, TP and BOD)} = \text{Production} \times \text{Standard Unit Load} \quad (2)$$

Where applicable, penetration factors are applied to account for reduction by artificial systems (stabilization ponds, septic tanks and pit latrines) and natural treatment systems.

2.2 River Water Quantity and Quality Measurements

The method is used to estimate riverine (runoff) pollution load. The load is derived from field monitored river flow (hydrology) and river nutrient concentration (Eq. 3). The load is extrapolated to cover the whole river watershed area if the monitoring point is upstream of river mouth.

$$\text{Pollution Load (TN, TP)} = \text{River Discharge} \times \text{Concentration} \quad (3)$$

2.3 Unit Area Load (UAL)

The method is applicable to estimation of runoff load. In this approach land cover in the basin is characterized into various categories (land uses). The unit (UAL) represents annual amount of runoff nutrients generated per unit area of land surface. Runoff load is estimated by matching land use area with relevant UAL (Eq. 4).

$$\text{Pollution Load (TN, TP)} = \sum_{\text{Land use}} \text{Land Area} \times \text{Unit Area Load} \quad (4)$$

2.4 Unit Load Deposition

The approach is used to estimate pollution load generated from wet and dry atmospheric deposition. Unit load deposition is annual amount of nutrients deposited over a unit surface area of a lake from the atmosphere. Atmospheric pollution load is expressed as a function of deposition per unit area (Eq. 5).

$$\text{Pollution Load (TN, TP)} = \sum_{\substack{\text{Wet} \\ \text{Dry}}} \text{Unit Load Deposition} \times \text{Lake Area} \quad (5)$$

3. Summary of Reviewed Literature

This study relied on review of published literature and project reports and discussions with relevant experts in relation to methods of estimating pollution load for Lake Victoria. The literature considered here in detail are Calamari et al. (1995), COWI (2002), LVEMP (2005), Scheren (2005, 2003) and Scheren et al. (2000, 1995). They are introduced below with consideration to their data sources and methods and key features summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

3.1 Calamari et al. (1995)

The study assessed pollution risk on river basins flowing into Winam Gulf on the Kenyan side of Lake Victoria. The main aim of the study was to identify potential lake pollutants. Pollution loads were estimated from

municipal (point load), industrial (point load) and agricultural (non-point load) sources. Atmospheric deposition was not estimated. Municipal loads from towns were estimated using methods outlined in existing literature (Iwugo, 1990). Standard unit loads were used to quantify industrial loads from industries which included sugar millers. The UAL concept was used to estimate runoff load in which land use was classified into cultivated and non-cultivated. The study also estimated the contribution of rural human inhabitants and livestock to runoff load using annual per capita load. Only phosphorous runoff load was estimated because it was considered to be the main limiting nutrient for production in the lake.

3.2 Scheren (2005, 2003) and Scheren et al. (2000, 1995)

The study used rapid assessment methods which are applicable for a data scarce situation. Based on extensive literature review and field visits, the authors came up with inventories of pollution data which the study considered adequate for their methodology. Municipal and industrial sources were classified as point sources while land runoff and atmospheric deposition were classified as non-point sources. An inventory of pollution intensities (unit loads) of various pollution sources were matched with their corresponding functional variables (for example, production of goods). The unit loads and functional variables were sourced from literature, field surveys, relevant institutions and rough estimates. Field visits were mainly meant to ascertain industrial production, agricultural management practices and conditions of municipal and sewerage systems.

The study came up with a range of typical per capita loads for municipal load sources categorized into sewered and unsewered population. The range consisted of three values, namely, the lowest and the highest unit loads that had been reported in other regions, and a most likely value (best guess). Where data existed, they were used as the most likely value otherwise literature values considered applicable were used. Industries were grouped as per International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC) to determine their corresponding BOD unit loads while unit loads for TN and TP were sourced from World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines (WHO, 1989; WHO, 1982). For both municipal and industrial loads, penetration factors were applied to account for purification of pollution load by both artificial systems (stabilization ponds, septic tanks and pit latrines) and natural treatment systems (TN and TP reduction by wetlands and BOD reduction by rivers).

Deposition per unit area and UAL concepts were adopted for atmospheric loads and land runoff respectively. The study classified land use into cultivated and non-cultivated. Like municipal load, ranges (low to high) of UAL and unit load deposition were borrowed from literature values

reported in regions outside Lake Victoria. The most likely values (best guess) were borrowed from regions with conditions similar to or close as possible to those of Lake Victoria, such as studies on Lake Malawi by Bootsma et al. (1996).

3.3 COWI (2002) and LVEMP (2005)

The studies were done under LVEMP (1995-2005) and their aim was to provide quantitative information on nutrient loading and recycling within the lake. Meteorology (rainfall), hydrology (river flow) and river nutrient concentration (water quality) in the basin were monitored. Population census data (Kenya – 1999; Tanzania – 1988; Uganda – 1991) were projected to determine urban population size and usage of waste disposal systems. Industrial records were sourced from government departments and field surveys. The records included: type, production and information on their wastewater disposal systems. The study used field measured data but where data were not available it was approximated.

Point loads comprised of municipal - effluent discharge from towns (with more than 10,000 persons) - and wet industries. Urban population and industries were grouped by mode of waste disposal (sewerage, septic and pit latrine). Municipal load was estimated by matching population with per capita (TN, TP & BOD) loads of respective disposal system while production was similarly matched with standard unit load for industrial load. Reduction of pollution load by discharge systems including (wetlands) was considered in cases where the systems are used for treatment but reduction by rivers was not considered. Treatment efficiencies of discharge systems were used where data were available otherwise it was approximated.

Non-point pollution comprised of runoff and atmospheric deposition load. Runoff was estimated by matching river flow and river water quality. The data were taken at points along the rivers and not necessarily at river mouths. Estimated runoff pollution load (TP & TN) included point loads discharged to the rivers upstream. However, the amount of point loads was considered negligible as compared to the amount of runoff load. Atmospheric deposition was derived from laboratory tests on samples collected using an open container for both dry and wet deposition, and ultimately extrapolated to cover the whole lake area. The samples were collected from land-based stations within lakeshores of Kenya, Tanzania and Uganda. The lake was subdivided into rain boxes (equal rainfall units) and rainfall data collected based on the sub divisions. The rainfall data together with laboratory water quality data for dry and wet deposition were then matched to estimate atmospheric deposition load over the whole lake.

Table 1. Summary of Methods (point loads)

| Study | Calamari et al. (1995) | Scheren (2005, 2003) & Scheren et al. (2000, 1995) | COWI (2002) & LVEMP (2005) |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| Scope Parameter | Winam Gulf BOD | Lake Victoria Basin TN, TP, BOD | Lake Victoria Basin TN, TP, BOD |
| Industrial Generation | Scope | 6 Industries | 50 No. industries (12 groups of industries as per ISIC ^a) |
| | Load | Load=Production ^a *pollution intensity ^b *penetration factor ^b | Load=Production ^a *pollution intensity ^c *penetration factor |
| | Reduction | Artificial treatment systems | Artificial treatment systems, rivers and wetlands |
| Municipal Generation | Scope | 10 No. towns | 40 No. towns in all 5 basin countries |
| | Disposal Load | Sewer, Pit latrine and Septic tank Load= \sum (persons*p.c.l ^e) | Sewered and Unsewered Load=Population*penetration factor*p.c.l ^e |
| | Reduction | Not considered | Artificial treatment systems, rivers and wetlands |

^aInternational Standard Industrial Classification; ^bAs per WHO (1989); ^cBOD as per ISIC while TN & TP as per WHO (1982); ^dBased on measured data or approximated; ^ePer capita load (TN, TP & BOD)

Table 2. Summary of Methods (non-point sources)

| Study | Calamari et al. (1995) | Scheren (2005, 2003) & Scheren et al. (2000, 1995) | COWI (2002) & LVEMP (2005) |
|------------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| Scope Parameter | Winam Gulf TP | Lake Victoria Basin TN & TP | Lake Victoria Basin TN & TP |
| Land Runoff | Land use | Cultivated and Non-cultivated | Cultivated and Non-cultivated |
| | Load | Load=Area*UAL ^b + {Population (persons, sheeps,cattle)}*p.c.l ^a | Load=Land use Area*UAL ^b |
| | Data source | Literature (p.c.l ¹ ; UAL ^a) | Literature (UAL ^b : Low & High values) |
| Atmospheric deposition | Load | Not estimated | Load=surface area*annual deposition per unit area |
| | Data source | Not estimated | Literature (most likely values borrowed from closely related regions) |

^aPer capita load (TP); ^bUnit Area Load

4. Discussion

Pollution loads are conveniently classified into point and non-point load depending on the ease and clarity of identity of their origin. All the

studies reviewed classified municipal and industrial sources as point sources while land runoff and atmospheric deposition were classified as non-point sources. However, differences emerge within the studies with respect to the methods of estimation. The different approaches taken by the studies are discussed in the sections below. Summaries of typical per capita loads and estimated pollution loads in the reviewed literature are given in Tables 3 and 4 respectively.

4.1 Point Pollution Load

Sewerage systems are an important consideration for point load estimation. However, not all towns and industries within the lake basin have sewage treatment facilities. Alternatives such as wetlands, pit latrines and septic tanks are used. For households connected to municipal sewers, it is easy to comprehend how municipal waste ends up in the lake through the treatment plants, wetlands or river course. It is also possible to monitor the effluent quantities and quality. Pit latrines provide an underground storage of human excreta and in some cases they also store wastewater from points such as bathrooms and kitchens. In an ideal case where there is neither overflow nor flooding, the pollution load in pit latrines would not find its way into the lake. The challenge would be how to estimate load where there is flow input from pit latrines. Typical septic tanks also do not flow directly into water courses but are discharged underground through soak pits. Conventional sewage treatment plants within the lake basin are poorly maintained and operate below optimal performance (Scheren et al., 2000). This implies that the theoretical treatment efficiencies considered may not be accurate. Based on the conventional operational nature of pit latrines and septic tanks, other factors constant, it is expected that relatively more load should come from the main sewer as compared to pit latrines and septic tanks. Consequently the per capita loads for each disposal system should reflect their relative contribution. However, some of the studies reviewed used the same unit load for sewers, septic tanks and pit latrines (Table 3).

COWI (2002), for example, used same annual per capita loads - TN (1.8 kg/person/yr), TP (0.73 kg/person/yr) and BOD load (11 kg/person/yr) for persons using main sewer, septic tanks and pit latrines. This means people using pit latrines and main sewers pollute the lake equally, assuming no reduction/purification. On the other hand, Calamari et al. (1995), Scheren (2005, 2003) and Scheren et al. (2000, 1995) used differentiated per capita loads with respect to type of disposal system. The flat rate used by COWI (2002) for all disposal systems do not represent the realistic scenario.

Challenges of estimating industrial pollution load were noted in the reviewed studies. This is in relation to records of polluting industries which were not available in a central place. It is necessary to identify the industries

to adequately estimate pollution load. Either annual production data or the wastewater flow and quality data for treatment facilities including their treatment efficiencies are needed. However, these data are not always available or complete because not all industries keep records. In the absence of such data, the studies used standard unit loads from literature and estimated industrial production. The approach may not capture the actual hot spot pollution centres. However, this seems to be the only available option to adopt until industry data collection is improved.

Table 3. Typical per Capita Unit Loads of BOD, TN and TP (Kg/person/yr)

| Study | Calamari et al. (1995) | | | Scheren (2005, 2003) & Scheren et al. (2000, 1995) | | COWI (2002) & LVEMP (2005) | | |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Disposal system | Sewered | Septic tank | Pit latrine | Sewered | Unsewered | Sewered | Septic tank | Pit latrine |
| TN | - | - | - | Low-High: 2.2-4.4 Most likely=3.3 | | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| TP | - | - | - | Low-High: 0.2-1.6 Most likely=0.4 | | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 |
| BOD | 11 | 8.6 | 7.3 | Low-High: 8-20 Most likely=16 | Low-High: 7-11 Most likely=8 | 11 | 11 | 11 |

4.2 Non-point Pollution Load

COWI (2002) estimated runoff pollution load based on monitored water quality and water quantity data in river watersheds. Sampling was done at points along in flowing rivers and not necessarily at river mouths. However, the estimated load was extrapolated to cover the total area of the watershed. Most wetlands in the lake basin are located close to the river mouths. Papyrus wetlands are dominant and play a big role in the removal of nutrients (Kansiime & Nalubega, 1999; Kiwango, 2007). Therefore monitored data at points upstream to river mouths may not give a representative estimate of nutrient loads that enter the lake. It was further assumed in COWI (2002) that river water quality and river discharges are constant throughout the year. However, in reality river nutrient concentration and river discharges vary with the seasons of the year. Furthermore, due to lack of data, water quality data for eleven river watersheds were borrowed from neighbouring catchments. Borrowed data creates bias error and may not give a true representation of the actual situation.

Calamari et al. (1995), Scheren (2005, 2003) and Scheren et al. (2000, 1995) used UAL concept. The UALs, as function of land use, were sourced from literature because there were no local UAL estimates for Lake Victoria basin. Ideally UAL is not only a function of land use but also a function of other environmental and management attributes. The other

relevant environmental attributes are rainfall parameters (rainfall intensity, depth and frequency), slope, catchment size, drainage density, soil type and erosivity factor (Baginska, Pritchard & Krogh, 2003; Young, Marston & Richard, 1996). A UAL for a land use class from two different regions may not necessarily be equal. It was therefore expected that borrowed literature values from other regions were adjusted to fit into local parameters.

Watershed parameters such as slope, soil, drainage geometry may be static but meteorology and hydrology parameters are not. Therefore the assumption with UAL concept that a unit area of land under a given land use generates constant load would not be realistic. The influencing factors vary temporally and spatially and UAL borrowed from a different location may not replicate the actual conditions. The borrowed UAL should be adjusted in consideration of parameters at the point of origin and destination (receiving) region. These are areas that will need further improvement in future. It is easily understood, given limitations faced by past studies, it was prudent for the studies to use methods they adopted. However, their weaknesses should be continuously improved by enhancing data collection and adoption of latest technologies.

One of the options in future would be to use Geographical Information Systems (GIS) and Remote Sensing (RS) tools for accurate determination and representation of land use, meteorology and hydrology aspects among others. GIS is a powerful mapping tool with capability to analyze geographic (e.g. land use) data and has a capacity to handle a large quantity of information. Additional components such as Soil Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) in a GIS platform would improve the process of estimation of pollution load. The tool (SWAT) is a GIS interface model that simulates hydrological-land processes. It has been widely used in the USA and less in Africa for water resources management and has proved to be efficient. Combining GIS, RS and tools like SWAT creates a versatile framework to analyze various scenarios of human and natural activities and their impacts on water resources. Use of GIS tools in Lake Victoria basin has not found much practise, but few studies have been undertaken. For example, Jayakrishnan, Srinivasan, Santhi & Arnold (2005) applied SWAT to model Sondu river watershed in Lake Victoria basin. The study assessed the impact of change in landuse driven by adoption of modern technology for smallholder dairy industry. Although the study was faced with data scarcity due to detailed model data requirements, more similar studies are needed in Lake Victoria. GIS and RS tools would improve estimation of runoff pollution load because they have a better capacity to replicate landuse, natural terrain and rainfall-runoff and nutrient generation process.

Atmospheric deposition has consistently been reported by past studies as a significant contributor of pollution load to Lake Victoria (Table

4). This seems reasonable given the large ratio of the lake's surface area relative to area of the basin. Such significant contribution calls for reliable and timely estimates and monitoring. The study (COWI, 2002) collected laboratory samples for wet and dry deposition to estimate atmospheric deposition. The samples were only collected from landbased and island stations and none within the lake. Given the expansive nature of the lake, samples collected only from landbased stations and used to estimate atmospheric load for the whole lake would not be truly representative. In-lake samples would improve the reliability on the estimates. Scheren (2005, 2003) and Scheren et al. (2000, 1995) used annual deposition per unit area as borrowed from literature. Again atmospheric deposition coefficients borrowed from literature suffer similar shortcomings as those of borrowed UAL.

The summary estimates in Table 4 shows significant differences. For example, runoff TN estimated by Scheren (2005, 2003) and Scheren et al. (2000, 1995) were about two times less estimate by COWI (2002) but runoff TP estimates were close (Table 4). The variance may be attributed to either the methodology or time period of estimation. The concept, by the 'Scherens', of borrowed coefficients captures element of time period through size of human urban population and land use at the time of estimation while COWI (2002) estimates are based on water quantity and quality data collected in the year 2001. Reliability of estimates is dependent on how a methodology represents temporal and spatial aspects in pollution load generation-transport process. Despite the limitations, the method by COWI (2002) which relied on monitored data is reasonable, but the methodology requires comparatively more resources for data collection to capture seasonal variations and spatial coverage.

The study by COWI (2002) provides useful information for preliminary determination of atmospheric deposition load that should inform future studies. The shortcomings by the study may be improved by collecting more samples within the lake and islands to make them more representative. Atmospheric deposition is mainly attributed to long range transport of airborne nutrients. The nutrients mainly originate from burnt biomass (phosphorous), windblown dust and industrial and automobile exhaust gases (nitrogen). Recent GIS and RS technologies have capacity to monitor distribution of airborne particles and night fires. They are important tools to inform studies on atmospheric deposition in the lake.

Table 4. Comparative Estimates of Pollution Load to Lake Victoria (tonnes/yr)

| Study | | Calamari et al. (1995) | Scheren (2005, 2003) & Scheren et al. (2000, 1995) | COWI (2002) | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|--------|
| Scope | | Winam Gulf | Lake Victoria Basin | Lake Victoria Basin | | |
| Point loads | Municipal | TN | - | 7,600 ^a | 3,515 | |
| | | TP | - | 920 ^a | 1,623 | |
| | | BO D | 3,577 | 12,800 ^a | 17,938 | |
| | Industrial | TN | - | - | 413 | |
| | | TP | - | - | 342 | |
| | | BO D | 2,600 | 3,170 ^a | 5,606 | |
| | Non-point loads | Runoff | TN | - | 26,292 ^a | 49,509 |
| | | | TP | 1,190 | 5,634 ^a | 5,693 |
| Atmospheric | | TN | - | 85,513 ^a | 102,148 | |
| | | TP | - | 3,647 ^a | 24,402 | |
| Total load (without Atmospheric) | | TN | - | 33,892 | 53,437 | |
| | | TP | - | 6,554 | 7,658 | |
| Total load (with Atmospheric) | | TN | - | 119,405 | 155,585 | |
| | | TP | - | 10,201 | 32,060 | |

^aMost likely values

5. Conclusion and Recommendation

The differences and at the same time closeness observed in the results of the past studies on estimation of pollution load to Lake Victoria make it difficult to determine which estimates are reliable and accurate. However, this demonstrates that in situations of inadequate data different methods give different results. Reliable estimates are dependent on the quality of data and on use of methods that simulate the actual process dynamics as much as possible. Prompt data collection and incorporation of latest technologies would complement current efforts to estimate pollution load to Lake Victoria. Total point loads seem far much less than non-point loads in Lake Victoria but more accurate and reliable estimates of both loads would be important for policy making. Proper management of point loads would not only lead to reduced stress on the lake but also improved public health. Non-point loads come from diffuse sources with characteristics that vary in spatial and temporal dimensions. Therefore, incorporation of GIS and RS tools in the process of estimation of non-point loads will address many of the weaknesses of the past studies. The estimates show that atmospheric deposition contributes significantly (30-80%) to the TN and TP loads to the lake. Such significant contribution calls for an urgent need to come up with more reliable estimates of various pollution loads to inform policy making for this very important lake.

References:

- Baginska, B., Pritchard, T. & Krogh, M. Roles of Land use Resolution and unit-area Load Rates in Assessment of Diffuse Nutrient Emissions: *Journal of Environmental Management*, 69, 39-46, 2003.
- Bootsma, H.A., Bootsma, M.J. & Hecky, R.E. The Chemical Composition of Precipitation and its Significance to the Nutrient Budget of Lake Malawi, in: Johnson T.C., Odada E.O. (ed) *The Limnology, Climatology and Paleoclimatology of the East African Lakes*, pp. 251-265, 1996.
- Calamari, D., Akech, M.O. & Ochumba, P.B.O. Pollution of Winam Gulf, Lake Victoria, Kenya: A case study for Preliminary Risk Assessment: *Lakes & Reservoirs: Research and Management*, 1, 89-106, 1995.
- Cheruiyot C.K., Muhandiki V.S., Ballatore T.J. & Nakamura M. Estimation of Pollution Load to Lake Victoria, East Africa: *Proceedings of the 4th IWA-ASPIRE Conference, Tokyo, Japan, 2–6 October, 2011*, 144-145.
- COWI Consulting Engineers. *The Integrated Water Quality/Limnology Study: Technical Report, Part II: Lake Victoria Environmental Management Project, East African Community, Arusha, Tanzania, 2002.*
- Iwugo, K.O. Basic Concepts of Environmental Quality Control in Relation to Baseline Studies on Land-Based Sources of Pollution: *Lecture Presented at the IOC-SAREC-UNEP-FAO-IAEA-WHO Workshop, Regional Aspects of Marine Pollution, Port Louis, Mauritius, 20 October-9 November, 1990.*
- Jayakrishnan, R., Srinivasan, R., Santhi, C. & Arnold, J.G. Advances in the Application of the SWAT Model for Water Resources Management: *Hydrological Processes*, 19, 749-762, 2005.
- Kansiime, F. & Nalubega, M. *Wastewater Treatment by a Natural Wetland: Nakivubo Swamp, Uganda: PhD Thesis, International Institute for Infrastructural, Hydraulic and Environmental Engineering, Delft: Wageningen Agricultural University, 1999.*
- Kayombo, S. & Jorgensen, S.E. *Lake Victoria: Experience and Lessons Learned Brief, In: Lake Basin Management Initiative: Experience and Lessons Learned Briefs (on CD). International Lake Environment Committee Foundation, Kusatsu, Japan, pp. 431-446, 2005.*
- Kiwango, Y.A.F. *The Role of Papyrus Plants (Cyperus Papyrus) and Internal Waves in Nutrient Balance of Lake Victoria, East Africa: Master's Thesis, University of Algarve, Norway, 2007.*
- Lake Victoria Environmental Management Project, LVEMP. Lake Victoria Environment Report on Water Quality and Ecosystems Status: Winam Gulf and River Basins in Kenya, Ministry of Water and Irrigation, Kisumu, Kenya, 2005.*
- Muyodi, F.J., Bugenyi, F.W.B. & Hecky R.E. *Experiences and Lessons Learned from Interventions in Lake Victoria Basin: The Lake Victoria*

- Environmental Management Project: Lakes & Reservoirs: Research and Management, 15, 77-88, 2010.
- Scheren, P.A.G.M., Zanting, H.A. & Lemmens, A.M.C. Estimation of Water Pollution Sources in Lake Victoria, East Africa: Application and Elaboration of the Rapid Assessment Methodology: Journal of Environmental Management, 58, 235-248, 2010.
- Scheren, P.A.G.M. Integrated Water Pollution Assessment in Data and Resource Poor Situations: Proceedings of the 11th World Lakes Conference (Vol. II), Nairobi, Kenya, 31 October-4 November, 2011, 315-320.
- Scheren, P.A.G.M. Integrated Water Pollution Assessment in Data and Resource Poor Situations: Lake Victoria and Gulf Guinea Case Studies: PhD Thesis. Eindhoven: Technische University, 2003.
- Scheren, P.A.G.M., Bosboom, J.C., Njau, K.N. & Lemmens, A.M. Assesment of Water Pollution in the Catchment area of Lake Victoria, Tanzania: Journal of Eastern Africa Research and Development, 25, 129-143, 1995.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Management and Control of the Environment: Publication WHO/PEP/89-1, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, 1989.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Rapid Assessment of Sources of Air, Water and Land Pollution: Publication No. 62, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, 1982.
- Young, J.W., Marston, M.F. & Richard, J.D. Nutrient Exports and Land use in Australian Catchments”, Journal of Environmental Management, 47, 165-183, 1996.