ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received:01/12/2016	Date Manuscript Review Submitted:	
Manuscript Title: A QUÉ TE ENFRENTAS CUANDO EMPRENDES		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0198/16		

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

Questions	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)	
Needs thorough restructuring. It is not appearing as an abstract.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5

(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating) Proper research gap should be identified		
5. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5	
(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)		
6. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4	
(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)		

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

This sheet is to be returned to the author(s) of the manuscript. Please provide reasons for acceptance or rejection as well as any suggestions that you might feel are appropriate for revisions or improvements.

Comentarios: Favor de mejorar la traducción (inglés) del resumen (Abstract). Faltan los pies de figura de cada figura. Falta mejorar la calidad de cada figura. Falta alinear las figuras al texto. Faltan los encabezados así como su numeración secuencial de cada cuadro o tabla. Comments and Suggestions: Please improve the translation (Spanish-English) Summary (Resumen-Abstract). Missing figure captions of each figure. Need to improve the quality of each figure.

Figures lack align the text.

Missing headers and their sequential numbering of each chart or table.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Dear Editor: I think that this article is publishable. This article is very important for the world community.