
ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	Email:
Date Manuscript Received: 22 août 2017	Date Manuscript Review Submitted:
Manuscript Title:	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> Le titre ne rend pas compte de l'intérêt du texte. Il utilise des formulations complexes, de sorte que l'on ne sait rien du contenu du texte avant de commencer à le lire.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> Idem, se référer aux observations sur le titre	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> Le texte présente beaucoup d'erreurs de sémantique, ce qui le rend difficile à comprendre	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i>	

La méthodologie utilise n'est pas clairement exposée	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> Le corps du texte contient beaucoup d'erreurs de grammaire, de sémantique et d'orthographe	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> Nous recommandons des efforts de clarification du texte dans l'ensemble. Seule façon d'aboutir à une conclusion cohérente	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> Certaines références du texte n'en valent pas la peine. La bibliographie est énorme par rapport au contenu du texte	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	X
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

