ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial teamis a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	Email:	
Date Manuscript Received:11/10/2017	Date Manuscript Review Submitted:11/10/2017	
Manuscript Title: The Validity of Okun's Law ,Case of Jordan		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 10111/17		

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-lesspoint rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]	
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5	
The title is short and directly explores and explains the main object of t	he study	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5	
Excellent abstract which clearly shows the main task of the study ,period results, and ends up with the recommendations	, evaluation tools. Main	
	4.5	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. The language is readable and understandable, I did not notice any gram 4. The study methods are explained clearly.		

5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
The body of the paper contains all main heading titles and in sequence	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
The conclusions are taken directly from the result descriptive and economet matches the title and the content of the study	ric analysis, and
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revisions needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): THE AUTHOR SHOULD EXPLORE HIS HIGH STANDER AND ABILITY OF THE KNOLOEGE HE HAS IN ECONOMETRIC TECHNIQE IN HIS NEXT PAPERS AND STUDIES.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: I BELIEVE THE EDITORS SHUOLD ENCOURAGE THOSE GOOD WRITERS AND EDITORS SUCH AS THE AUTHOR OF THIS PAPER.





