
ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2018

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review report. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper. Do not estimate the novelty or the potential impact of the paper. You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

| | |
|--|--|
| Date Manuscript Received: 27/01/2018 | Date Manuscript Review Submitted: 27/01/2018 |
| Manuscript Title: La ciudad en el cine: una perspectiva mundial | |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 35.01.2018 // 0235/18 | |

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with an explanation for each point rating.

| <i>Questions</i> | <i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
|---|--|
| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 4 |
| El título es adecuado para el contenido del artículo. | |
| 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. | 3 |
| El resumen no presenta una estructura de objetivos, método y resultados. El artículo puede considerarse realmente como un ensayo. | |
| 3. There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 4 |
| Hay algunos errores que he corregido en el propio documento. | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 1 |
| El artículo no es un estudio. Es un ensayo. | |

| | |
|--|----------|
| 5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. | 4 |
| <p>El ensayo es claro y no contiene errores. Debería haber sido estructurado en:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introducción 2. La ciudad en el cine 3. Conclusiones <p>Ya lo he incorporado en el documento.</p> | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 3 |
| <p>Aunque breve, sí que están respaldadas por el contenido.</p> | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and according to the APA citation style. | 3 |
| <p>El listado que aparece al final del texto no son realmente unas referencias bibliográficas. Es el listado de las películas que se nombran en el texto; en el orden en que aparecen. Se podría mantener el listado actual y añadir otro nuevo ordenado por el año de su realización.</p> | |

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

| | |
|--|----------|
| Accepted, no revision needed | |
| Accepted, minor revisions needed | x |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | |
| Reject | |

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The article is not a study. It's a rehearsal.