ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2018*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review report. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper. Do not estimate the novelty or the potential impact of the paper.
You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 01/28/2018	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: 01/29/2018
Manuscript Title: **OBJECT AND SUBJECT CASE MARKING IN BEHDINI**	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0214/18	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with an explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	**5**
The title is consistent with the content.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	**5**
The abstract is clear.	
3. There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**5**
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**4**
The sources of the data are not clearly stated.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	**5**

The theme of the paper is interesting and valuable for the academic community.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**5**
The conclusion is supported by the content.	
7. The references are comprehensive and according to the APA citation style. *(All the sources in the list of references are cited in the content and vice versa)*	**5**
(a brief explanation is recommendable)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	**5**
Accepted, minor revisions needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The paper is well written and greatly contributes to syntax studies and to researches on Indo-Iranian languages. Some readers might just wish to have clarification on the source of data.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

It appears the author speaks Behdini and uses his own linguistic knowledge for his analysis.