

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2018

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review report. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper. Do not estimate the novelty or the potential impact of the paper. You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: April 17 th , 2018	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: April 18 th , 2018
Manuscript Title: The Influence of Public Goods on the Development of China's Regional Economy – A Spatial Econometric Approach	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 07.05.2018	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with an explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>The title is very clear and highly consistent with the content of this paper.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>The abstract explains clearly what the paper aims to do, how it investigates the topic, and what it finds.</i>	
3. There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>The paper is written in understandable English.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>The spatial econometric approach is illustrated in detail. The methodology is well presented with</i>	

<i>convincing results.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
<i>The body of the paper is constructed in a logical manner. It first starts with a theoretical model. Afterwards the theoretical model is transformed smoothly into a spatial econometric model. Policy implications are indeed based on empirical results.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>The conclusions summarize major findings of this paper.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and according to the APA citation style. <i>(All the sources in the list of references are cited in the content and vice versa)</i>	5
<i>Reference is clear. It follows the APA citation style.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revisions needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The paper is written in a technical manner. The spatial econometric model is accurately constructed. The paper innovatively synthesizes relevant procedures of spatial econometric model and delivers the whole process in a scientific way. Empirical results and corresponding policy implications would benefit China's economic development should they get accepted.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

I highly recommend this paper to get published. Such advanced econometric paper is for readers of high-level graduate students majored in spatial economics and econometrics.

European Scientific Journal
European Scientific Institute

