
ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2018

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review report. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper. Do not estimate the novelty or the potential impact of the paper. You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 9 Mai 2019	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: 13 Mai 2019
Manuscript Title: POLITIQUES PUBLIQUES, INSERTION DES JEUNES ET SECTEURS D'EMPLOIS D'ACTUALITE	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 02114/18	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with an explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. <i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i>	4
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. <i>Le résumé est long. Il contient des fautes</i>	3
3. There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. <i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i>	3
4. The study methods are explained clearly. <i>(An explanation is recommendable)</i>	4

5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	2
<i>L'auteur doit réviser son texte et éliminer les sous parties ; il faut respecter la structure d'un article scientifique qui diffère d'un rapport ou d'un mémoire ou d'une thèse...</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(An explanation is recommendable)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and according to the APA citation style.	2
<i>(All the sources in the list of references are cited in the content and vice versa)</i>	
<i>Certaines références sont citées dans le corps d'article mais elles ne sont pas mentionnées dans la référence ; tandis que d'autres sont citées dans la référence mais elles ne sont pas citées dans le corps de son article...</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Voir la correction portée dans votre article

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

C'est un bon travail mais il nécessite encore des révisions