

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2018

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review report. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper. Do not estimate the novelty or the potential impact of the paper. You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received:	Date Manuscript Review Submitted:
Manuscript Title: <b style="color: #0056b3;">Food safety training: a study of food handlers working in hotels in the North of Jordan	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with an explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>Suitable.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>Suitable.</i>	
3. There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Suitable: Review the use of uppercase and lowercase.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>Suitable.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>Suitable.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3

<i>Expand the conclusions, with the purpose of giving amplitude to the conditions of with respect to the conditions of hygienic handling of food in Jordan.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and according to the APA citation style. <i>(All the sources in the list of references are cited in the content and vice versa)</i>	5
<i>References are according to APA</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Food safety training: a study of food handlers working in hotels in the North of Jordan, It is a document of 26 pages in a single space, which explores the health and hygiene conditions eloquently. Includes 86 documents of which 6 are books and / or book chapters and four links via Internet.

- Orthography in general acceptable.
- Review the amplitude of the hypothesis with respect to the results, discussion and conclusions;
- It is required in the Conferences to expand the how, because the location of health and hygiene is not given in the regulatory framework in Jordan, and it is recommended not to use citations.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

