

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2018

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review report. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper. Do not estimate the novelty or the potential impact of the paper. You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received:	Date Manuscript Review Submitted:
Manuscript Title:	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with an explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. <i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> Rien A Signaler	5
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. <i>(An explanation is recommendable)</i> L'objectif n'est pas clair dans la mesure où il est différent par rapport à celui de l'introduction. Les méthodes et les résultats sont bien définies	3
3. There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. <i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> Quelques fautes ont été observées sans aucune gravité	4
4. The study methods are explained clearly. <i>(An explanation is recommendable)</i>	4

Des améliorations doivent être apportées pour une clarification de la méthodologie. Mes observations sont dans l'article.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	2
<i>(An explanation is recommendable)</i> La présentation et le commentaire des résultats sont à revoir dans l'ensemble. Mes observations sont dans l'article.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
<i>(An explanation is recommendable)</i> La conclusion qui résulte des différentes parties des résultats n'est pas clairement exprimée.	
7. The references are comprehensive and according to the APA citation style.	2
<i>(All the sources in the list of references are cited in the content and vice versa)</i> <i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> Plusieurs références bibliographiques qui figurent dans le corps de l'article, ne se trouvent pas dans la partie références bibliographiques. Il vous faut revoir tout, et mettre dans l'ordre de l'alphabète c'est-à-dire A, B, C	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	X
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Attendez la prise en compte des observations en vue d'une quelconque publication de l'article

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

European Scientific Journal
European Scientific Institute

