

Paper: “Approvisionnement En Eau De Consommation Et Récurrence Des Maladies Hydriques Dans La Commune De Bangangté (Ouest-Cameroun)”

Corresponding Author: Esther Nya

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n11p115

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Raison Félicien Louzayadio Mvouezolo
Université Marien Ngouabi, Congo

Reviewer 2: Abdou Hamidou
Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey, Niger

Published: 30.04.2020

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: LOUZAYADIO MVOUEZOLO Raison Félicien	
University/Country: Université Marien NGOUABI/Congo-Brazzaville	
Date Manuscript Received: 26/03/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 28/03/2020
Manuscript Title: Approvisionnement en eau de consommation et récurrence des maladies hydriques dans la Commune de bangangté (ouest-cameroun)	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0421/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Oui, le titre est très intéressant et correspond bien au contenu de l'article.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3,5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Oui, mais il faudrait ajouter les éléments manquants indiqués dans le document.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3,5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Oui, mais il existe quelques erreurs dans le texte ; il faudrait bien le relire (voir document).	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Non, pas du tout. Il faudrait tenir compte de remarques dans le document.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Non, il faudrait harmoniser le contenu du document par rapport à la méthodologie.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4,5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Oui, mais il faudrait prendre en compte les remarques dans le document	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Oui, mais il serait mieux d'enlever le gras en ce qui concerne les noms des auteurs et ajouter les références dans la parties méthodologie.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Dans la forme : Le document présente quelques fautes d'orthographe. La partie méthodologie doit être améliorée, ainsi que la partie résultats. Quant au fond : les données ont été mal exploitées. Les chiffres sont noyés dans le texte à cause des tableaux, ce qui ne permet pas de ressortir la substance de l'article. Des graphiques auraient pu mettre en exergue une meilleure qualité du travail.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

