

**Paper: “Factors Influencing the Effects of Large-Scale Land Acquisition on The Livelihood of Smallholder Farmers in the Pru District of Ghana”**

Corresponding Author: Ronald Osei Mensah

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n11p159

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Essedaoui Aafaf  
Chouaib Doukkali University, Maroc

Reviewer 2: Hassan Lemacha  
Université Hassan II de Casablanca, Maroc

Reviewer 3: Bodo Tombari  
University of Uyo, Nigeria

Published: 30.04.2020

---

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

**ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!**

Reviewer Name: Pr. Hassan LEMACHA	
University/Country: Université Hassan II de Casablanca, Maroc	
Date Manuscript Received: 17 mars 2020	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: FACTORS INFLUENCING LARGE SCALE LAND ACQUISITION ON THE LIVELIHOOD OF SMALLHOLDER FARMERS	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0404/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Restructurer le résumé (problématique, objectifs, méthodes et résultats)</i> <i>Le mot clé "Footprints" n'existe pas dans le texte</i>	

<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Chercher des références récentes (2017, 2018 et 2019) pour valoriser le travail.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

1. Ajouter les numéros et les titres por les figures de la page 4 et 9.
2. Ajouter des résultats sous forme de figures.
3. Ajouter des références récentes (2017, 2018 et 2019) pour valoriser le travail
4. Restructurer le résumé (problématique, objectifs, méthodes et résultats)
5. Le mot clé “Footprints” n’existe pas dans le texte

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

**European Scientific Journal**  
European Scientific Institute



# *ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Date Manuscript Received: 17 <sup>th</sup> march, 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 30 <sup>th</sup> march, 2020
Manuscript Title: Factors Influencing Large Scale Land Acquisition on the Livelihood of Smallholder Farmers	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No <b>NO</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No <b>YES</b>	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No <b>YES</b>	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>(The content of the paper do not clearly explains the title. The content fails to explain how Large scale land acquisition influences the livelihood of small scale farmers).</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>(The different methods and results mentioned in the content are not reflected in the abstract)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this</b>	<b>2</b>

<b>article.</b>	
<i>(This paper should be sent to an English Editor)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>(So many methods listed that are not well explained or connected to this study)</i>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>(for online references, the date of retrieval should be inscribed)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation**(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	<b>X</b>
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

**European Scientific Journal**  
European Scientific Institute



# *ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name:	Email:
University/Country:	
Date Manuscript Received:03/17/2020	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Factors Influencing Large Scale Land Acquisition on The Livelihood of Smallholder Farmers	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0404/2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>
yes	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
yes	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	



<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
yes	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>The bibliography is very developed the objectives are not clear(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
yes	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
yes	

**Overall Recommendation**(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

