

**Paper: “Effet de la Culpabilité Groupale Ressentie sur le Soutien a la Réparation de L’oppression a L’égard de L’exogroupe : Le cas des Anglophones du Cameroun”**

Corresponding Author: Messanga Adolphe

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n10p135

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Seka Yapi  
Ecole Normale Supérieure, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Tieffi Hassan  
Université Felix Houphouet-Boigny d’Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 3: Bawa Ibn Habib  
Université de Lomé, Togo

Published: 30.04.2020

# *ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Reviewer Name: SEKA YAPI  |  |
| University/Country: Ecole Normale Supérieure / Côte d'Ivoire  |  |
| Date Manuscript Received: 01/04/2020  | Date Review Report Submitted: 04/04/2020 |
| Manuscript Title: effet de la culpabilité groupale ressentie sur le soutien à la réparation de l'oppression à l'égard de l'exogroupe : le cas des anglophones du cameroun |  |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 3204/20  |  |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes   |  |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes  |  |
| You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes  |  |

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

| <i>Questions</i>   | <i>Rating Result</i><br>[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
|--|--|
| <b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>   | <b>5</b>                                       |
| <i>Le titre est en lien avec le contenu du texte</i>   |  |
| <b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>  | <b>4</b>                                       |
| <i>Le résumé est suffisamment clair, l'objectif est connu, l'hypothèse également, ainsi que la méthode d'évaluation des tests. L'auteur n'oublie pas de présenter les résultats de ses recherches.</i> |  |

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| <b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>  | <b>4</b> |
| <i>Le texte est très bien rédigé et très peu d'erreurs grammaticales y sont insérées.</i>  |          |
| <b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>   | <b>5</b> |
| <i>La méthode d'analyse est très expliquée et très bien appliquée à l'étude. les résultats se comprennent donc aisément.</i>   |          |
| <b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>  | <b>4</b> |
| <i>Le texte est clair, facile à lire et présente très peu d'erreurs</i>  |          |
| <b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>  | <b>4</b> |
| <i>La conclusion reprend l'objectif de la recherche et fait une synthèse du travail élaboré. Il existe donc une harmonie entre la conclusion et le corps du texte.</i> |          |
| <b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>  | <b>4</b> |
| <i>Les références sont appropriées au sujet traité et sont également d'actualité. Elles correspondent aux normes en vigueur.</i>                                       |          |

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| Accepted, no revision needed               |          |
| Accepted, minor revision needed            | <b>X</b> |
| Return for major revision and resubmission |          |
| Reject                                     |          |

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Reviewer Name:  |  |
| University/Country:   |  |
| Date Manuscript Received: 01/04/2020  | Date Review Report Submitted: 08/04/2020 |
| <b>Manuscript Title: EFFET DE LA CULPABILITÉ GROUPELE RESSENTIE SUR LE SOUTIEN À LA RÉPARATION DE L’OPPRESSION À L’ÉGARD DE L’EXOGRUPE : LE CAS DES ANGLOPHONES DU CAMEROUN</b> |  |
| ESJ Manuscript Number:  |  |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:    No   |  |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:    Yes   |  |
| You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:    Yes   |  |

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| <i>Questions</i>  | <i>Rating Result</i><br>[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
|---|--|
| <b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>    | <b>4</b>                                       |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |  |
| <b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>             | <b>4</b>                                       |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |  |
| <b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b> | <b>4</b>                                       |

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |          |
| <b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>  | <b>3</b> |
| <i>What's the research problem? What is at stake in such a study?<br/>What is your sampling technique?</i>  |          |
| <b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>   | <b>3</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |          |
| <b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>   | <b>3</b> |
| <i>The conclusion is not a summary of the work or study. It highlights what is relevant to retain that could be applied or put into perspective for future research. Furthermore, since the conclusion is yours, it is advisable to avoid overloading it with references.</i> |          |
| <b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>   | <b>4</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |          |

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| Accepted, no revision needed               |          |
| Accepted, minor revision needed            | <b>X</b> |
| Return for major revision and resubmission |          |
| Reject                                     |          |

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

**ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!**

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Reviewer Name:   |  |
| University/Country:  |  |
| Date Manuscript Received: 1/04/2020  | Date Review Report Submitted: 25/04/2020 |
| Manuscript Title: EFFET DE LA CULPABILITÉ GROUPE RESENTIE SUR LE SOUTIEN À LA RÉPARATION DE L'OPPRESSION À L'ÉGARD DE L'EXOGROUPE : LE CAS DES ANGLOPHONES DU CAMEROUN |  |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 0432/20   |  |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/ <b>No</b>   |  |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b> /No  |  |
| You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b> /No  |  |

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| <i>Questions</i>   | <i>Rating Result</i><br>[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
|--|--|
| <b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>   | <b>4</b>                                       |
| <i>(Please insert your comments):</i> Le titre est bien conforme au contenu développé. Les chercheurs maîtrisent parfaitement leur sujet d'ailleurs. |  |
| <b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>  | <b>4</b>                                       |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i><br><b>Bon résumé de l'article</b>   |  |
| <b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>  | <b>3</b>                                       |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i><br><b>Quelques fautes mineures à corriger rapidement</b>  |  |

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| <b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>  | <b>5</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La méthodologie utilisée est bien adaptée au sujet traité.                 |          |
| <b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>   | <b>5</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le développement s'appuie bien sur les theories et les travaux antérieurs. |          |
| <b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>                                 | <b>4</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Bonne conclusion qui récapitule les résultats obtenus                      |          |
| <b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>   | <b>5</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i><br>Bien présentée et selon les normes APA                                  |          |

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| Accepted, no revision needed               |          |
| Accepted, minor revision needed            | <b>X</b> |
| Return for major revision and resubmission |          |
| Reject                                     |          |

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Sujet d'actualité traité avec la méthodologie appropriée. Prière juste corriger les fautes qui se sont glissées par endroit puis tout est correct.**

**Bon courage à vous**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

