

Paper: **“Conflit foncier du Goin-Débé à Guiglo en Côte d’Ivoire : Fantôme ethnicisé de la guerre post-électorale ivoirienne de 2010”**

Corresponding Author: Fernand Jean Bosson Mian

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n10p362

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: N’drin Beugré Anselme

Université Alassane Ouattara de Bouaké, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Affessi Adon

Université Peleforo Gon Coulibaly Korhogo, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 3: Toure Krouele

Ecole Normale Supérieure d’Abidjan, Ivory Coast

Reviewer 4: Blinded

Published: 30.04.2020

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	Email:
University/Country:	
Date Manuscript Received: 03-04-2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 12/04/2020
Manuscript Title: Conflits fonciers du Goin-Débé à Guiglo en Côte d'Ivoire : <u>fantôme</u> ethnalisé de la guerre post-électorale ivoirienne de 2010	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 03.04.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Corriger les fautes dans le titre.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Quelques précisions sur la méthode sont nécessaires.	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Des faiblesses dans le style sont à revoir.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La méthodologie doit être renforcée.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Des maladroites et coquilles sont signalées dans le texte.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> A la fin de l'article on doit savoir si les objectifs prévus sont atteints ou pas.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Des références pertinentes mais qui doivent être bien présentées dans la bibliographie.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): Relire le texte et le nettoyer pour le rendre agréable.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: N'DRIN Beugré Anselme	Email:
University/Country: Côte d'Ivoire.	
Date Manuscript Received: March 25, 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: March 26, 2020
Manuscript Title: Conflits fonciers du Goin-Débé à Guiglo en Côte d'Ivoire : fantôme ethniciisé de la guerre post-électorale ivoirienne de 2010	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0403/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <u>No</u>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <u>Yes</u>	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <u>Yes</u>	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2
<i>The title of the article is not very precise because a few words are missing from the content. For example: What is Goindebé? What is the exact starting period for the post-electoral war in Côte d'Ivoire? These details are important in understanding the content of the article. Here is my proposition: "Conflits fonciers dans la forêt classée de Goin-Débé à Guiglo en Côte d'Ivoire : fantôme ethniciisé de la guerre post-électorale ivoirienne de novembre 2010"</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>the objects of the study were not clearly indicated in the summary. The use of the qualitative method</i>	

<i>was mentioned in the summary. On the other hand, the tradition of the qualitative study which was called up in the article was not specified. I remind you that there are five traditions of qualitative study (ethnology, biographical, phenomenological, grounded and case study).</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Put the grave accents on the capital "A". Specify throughout the content of the article the exact period of the start of the post-electoral war in Côte d'Ivoire. Determinants and / or words have been omitted throughout the body of the article.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>The study methods were not clearly explained. neither are investigative techniques. The use of the qualitative method was mentioned in the summary. On the other hand, the tradition of the qualitative study which was called up in the article was not specified. I remind you that there are five traditions of qualitative study (ethnology, biographical, phenomenological, grounded and case study).</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	2
<i>The period of start and end of land conflicts in the sacred forest of Goin-Débé was not mentioned in the article. Also, the images inserted in the article are archive images. The true sources of these images must be specified because I doubt that these images were taken by the authors of this article. Indeed, the killings relating to land disputes in the classified forest of Goin-Débé were carried out between October 2 and November 15, 2017. While it is mentioned in this study that the surveys took place in December 2017.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
<i>The conclusion seems somewhat relevant. Some syntactic and grammatical errors were detected in the content of the conclusion. It needs to be reviewed. The source of the author of the citation mentioned in the conclusion was not cited.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>citations cited in the content of the article were not referenced. Four works cited in the bibliographic reference were not summoned in the content of the article. These works should be deleted from this section.</i>	

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	X
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Refer to my comments from the table.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: AFFESSI Adon	Email:
University/Country: Université Peleforo Gon Coulibaly Korhogo	
Date Manuscript Received: 25-3- 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 03-4- 2020
Manuscript Title: Conflits fonciers du Goin-Débé à Guiglo en Côte d'Ivoire ; fantôme ethnicisé de la guerre post-électorale ivoirienne de 2010	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0403/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Commented [A1]: Suggestion : Conflits fonciers dans forêt classée du Goin-Débé à l'Ouest de la Côte d'Ivoire ; fantôme ethnicisé de la guerre post-électorale ivoirienne de 2010

Commented [A2]: Préciser dans quelle partie de la Côte d'Ivoire se situe votre étude

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> j'ai apporté une légère modification pour que le titre soit accrocheur	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2,5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Quelques éléments à apporter voir le texte et la remarque finale	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2,5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Le texte doit être revu et corrigé	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Voir commentaire	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Le texte est compréhensif, cependant il faut bien l'organiser en des points et sous-points	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2,5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Revoir la conclusion selon mes indications	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<i>Un bonne bibliographie, mais les candidats doivent creuser un peu leur recherche bibliographique pour enrichir la discussion</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Les candidats doivent tenir compte de mes suggestions et critiques pour mieux enrichir le travail

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

European Scientific Journal
European Scientific Institute

