



**Paper: “Wavelet Analysis: A Links Between the North Atlantic Oscillation and Winter Drought in the Mediterranean Watersheds of the Western Rif (North Morocco)”**

Corresponding Author: Ismail El-Khantoury

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n15p99

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Saidi, Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakesh, Morocco

Reviewer 2: Bupinder Zutshi, Jawaharlal Nehru University, India

Published: 31.05.2020

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Bupinder Zutshi	Email:
University/Country: Jawaharlal Nehru University, India	
Date Manuscript Received: 11th April 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 18th April 2020
Manuscript Title: The contribution of wavelet analysis to the study of the links between the North Atlantic Oscillation and winter drought in the Mediterranean watersheds of the Western Rif (North Morocco)	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No Yes	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<b><i>Questions</i></b>	<b><i>Rating Result</i></b>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Yes it exhibits the actual contents but the title can be shorten as</i> Wavelet analysis: A links between the North Atlantic Oscillation and winter drought	

in the Mediterranean watersheds of the Western Rif (North Morocco)	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Yes the abstract presented explains the contents and results presented in the paper</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>No it is fairly Ok</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Yes the methods are properly explained and correctly utilised to seek answers of the research questiuons</i>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Yes</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>A thorough analysis with data has been attempted to present the views</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Yes</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<b>Yes</b>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>No</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<b>No</b>
Reject	<b>No</b>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Title may be shortened as mentioned above. The Body of the paper is well explained and data analysis is appropriate**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

**Yes Ok for Publication**