



Paper: **“Impact De La Divagation Des Bovins Sur Les Cultures Dans La Ville De Korhogo”**

Corresponding Author: BROU Gatien

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n15p223

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Nicodème Chabi, Université d’Abomey-Calavi, Bénin

Reviewer 2: ADOU Coffi Franck Didier, Université Cocody
(Ecole Normale Supérieure Abidjan/Côte d’Ivoire)

Published: 31.05.2020

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: ADOU Coffi Franck Didier	Email:
University/Country: Universite Cocody (Ecole Normale Supérieure Abidjan/Cote d'Ivoire)	
Date Manuscript Received: 1/04/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 8/04/2020
Manuscript Title: Impact de la divagation des bovins sur les cultures dans la ville de Korhogo	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0427/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>Le titre est clair et est en adéquation avec le contenu de l'article</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2

<i>Insérer dans le résumé des exemples des parties importantes endommagées quel que soit les cultures et énuméré les conséquences également chez les animaux</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>no comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(No comments)</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	2
<i>Il faut varier la formule de présentation des résultats. Ne pas être figé sur la manière de décrire les résultats.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
<i>Revoir la conclusion qui relate plus de perspectives qu'une réponse a la problématique mentionne dans le titre</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>Les références mentionnes dans le texte sont correctes et bien libelle cependant des erreurs de syntaxes et d'orthographe sont à corriger</i>	

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Dans la forme l'article soumis pour instruction a été bien mené et les résultats bien présentés. Néanmoins prendre en compte les remarques, améliorer la présentation des résultats et revoir la conclusion qui doit apporter une réponse a la problématique.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: