



Paper: “Evaluation Des Connaissances Des Populations De La Région De N’Zi Sur L’utilisation Des Plantes Alimentaires Dans Le Traitement Du Diabète De Type 2, De L’hypertension Artérielle Et De L’obésité (Centre-Est De La Côte d’Ivoire)”

Corresponding Author: Donthy Kouakoubah Richard Kouakou

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n15p262

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Fousseny DIAKITE, Université Gamal Abdel Nasser de Conakry, Guinée

Reviewer 2: Zineb BENZIANE OUARITINI, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Faculty of Sciences Fez, Morocco

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer 4: Mme ABOUBACAR Kolafane, Université ABDOU MOUMOUNI/ NIGER

Reviewer 5: Ahamide Innocent, Université d’Abomey-Calavi/Bénin

Published: 31.05.2020

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Fousseny DIAKITE	Email:
University/Country: Université Gamal Abdel Nasser de Conakry/ Guinée	
Date Manuscript Received: 20 mars 2020	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Connaissance de quelques plantes alimentaires à visée antidiabétique, antihypertensive et amaigrissante utilisées par les populations de la région du N’Zi (Centre-Est de la Côte d’Ivoire)	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0412/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/ <u>No</u>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>Les auraient plutôt dit; Evaluation des connaissances des populations de la région</i>	

<i>de ZI sur l'utilisation des plantes alimentaires dans le traitement des maladies.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>L'objectif ne colle pas bien avec le titre, la methodologie et les résultats sont bien élucidés.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Très peu de fautes d'orthographe</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>Cette évaluation devrait être un essai Clinique pour apprécier l'efficacité de ces plantes dans le traitement du syndrome métabolique car en Afrique elles sont consommées quotidiennement mais la maladie reste et demeure fréquente.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>Le corps du texte contient très peu d'erreur</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
<i>La conclusion du texte devrait être revue car trop de répétition</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>Recherché bibliographique bien fournie</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Les auteurs devraient revoir le titre afin de l'adapter à l'objectif. Eviter les répétitions dans la conclusion.

Il s'agit véritablement d'une étude originale qui met en relief l'importance de l'utilisation de ces plantes alimentaires pour la prévention et le traitement du syndrome métabolique.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Je remercie l'équipe d'édition de m'avoir choisi comme revivreur de ce manuscrit. A mon humble avis si le manuscrit est publié après les corrections mineures , il intéresserait les lecteurs de la revue ESJ.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	Email:
University/Country: Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Faculty of Sciences Fez, Morocco	
Date Manuscript Received: 20-03-2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 26-03-2020
Manuscript Title: Connaissance de quelques plantes alimentaires à visée antidiabétique, antihypertensive et amaigrissante utilisées par les populations de la région du N’Zi (Centre-Est de la Côte d’Ivoire)	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0412/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>Title to review)</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>Well</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Yes</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>Good</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>Effectively</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>Well</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>some mentioned corrections to be made</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): Good luck

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: well done.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Mme	Email:
University/Country: Université ABDOU MOUMOUNI/ NIGER	
Date Manuscript Received: 22/03/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 01/04/2020
Manuscript Title: <i>Connaissance de quelques plantes alimentaires à visée antidiabétique, antihypertensive et amaigrissante utilisées par les populations de la région du N’Zi (Centre-Est de la Côte d’Ivoire)</i>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0412.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>Le titre est bien formulé.</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>Le résumé est très bien construit.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Les phrases sont bien compréhensives. Quelques fautes répertoriées sont à corriger.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>Les méthodes sont très bien formulées.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
<i>Le corps du texte est clair et contient peu de fautes à corriger. (voir document)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>La conclusion est bien récapitulative.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	
<i>Les auteurs ont utilisé des références récentes (2019 et 2018) mais beaucoup plus celles de 2016 et 2014. Quelques corrections sont nécessaires.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

La partie référence doit être révisée.

(Enlever la virgule après Béné car Béné K. est le même auteur. Faire de même pour tous les auteurs)

Exemple révisé :

Béné K., Camara D., N'Guessan F., Yapi A. B., Yapo Y. C., Ambé A. S., & Zirihi G. N. (2016). Étude ethnobotanique des plantes médicinales utilisées dans le Département de Transua, District du Zanzan (Côte d'Ivoire). *Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences*, 27(2), 4230-4250.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Le temps d'évaluation est peu pour une correction approfondie.