

#### Paper: "A Study of Microfinance and Its Impact on the Livelihoods of Market Women; Evidence from Yilo Krobo Municipality, Ghana"

Corresponding Author: Frederick Affum

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n16p216

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Published: 30.06.2020

# **ESJ** Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!* 

Date Manuscript Received:30.4.2020.	Date Review Report Submitted: 10.5.2020.	
Manuscript Title: A Study of Microfinance and Its Impact on the Livelihoods of Market Women; Evidence from Yilo Krobo Municipality, Ghana		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0532/20		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No		

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] <b>1-5</b> [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
The title is clear and corresponds to the body of the paper.	-
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
	5

mistakes in this article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
The study methods are explained clearly in chapter about resear	ch methodology.
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
The body of the paper clearly shows impact of micro-finance on certain municipality in Ghana.	market women in
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
	n the research and the findings of the us. Also there are

#### **Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	Χ
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The paper is methodologically correct, it analyzes in detail the current topic. It raises open questions, problems, shortcomings and gaps. The topic is very interesting and very current in this day of age. Also it would be very interesting to see the overview in other municipalities. In the conclusion, based on the findings of the study, the researcher gives recommendations which is meritorious. Also there are issues that will be the aim of another research that the author plans to conduct in the future.

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**