



Paper: “Urbanisation et nouveaux modes de transport urbain en Afrique de l’Ouest : cas de la ville de Bamako (Mali)”

Corresponding Author: Issa Fofana

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n17p206

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Said Kammas

Centre des Etudes et Recherches en Logistique et Développement, Maroc

Reviewer 2: Tandjigora Fodié

University of Letters and Human Sciences of Bamako, Mali

Reviewer 3: Mohammed Idrissi

Institut National de Recherche Halieutique, Marocco

Reviewer 4: Louzayadio Mvouezolo Raison Félicien

Université Marien Ngouabi, République du Congo

Published: 30.06.2020

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	Email: TANDJIGORA Fodié
University/Country: University of Letters and Human Sciences of Bamako (MALI)	
Date Manuscript Received: June 1st	Date Review Report Submitted: June 5th
Manuscript Title: Nouveaux modes de transport urbain et croissance urbaine de Bamako	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0545/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>The title is adapted to the content because the issues of new modes of transport are dealt with. it concerns the appearance of motorcycles in the urban transport landscape in Bamako in Mali</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and	4

results.	
<i>The objectives are clear as well as the methodology used. However, the results are poorly presented at the summary level.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(there are few grammatical errors. But some sentence turns need to be reviewed)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Yes the method is clearly defined)</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
<i>(The body of the document needs some restructuring. Excerpts from interviews are too long and can be reduced. then there are repetitions of the same ideas in places. some acronyms appear in the text without definition.)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(The summary and conclusion contain the general idea of the content)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(The references are from the APA standard)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The article is topical and could bring a plus in knowledge of the phenomenon of urban transport. I suggest to see the structuring at the level of the results. Then it is necessary to reduce the extracts of interviews to the essential.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The article offers a lot of information about urban transport in Bamako. As the phenomenon is a topical one, I suggest its publication subject to the integration of corrections.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: LOUZAYADIO MVOUEZOLO Raison Félicien	Email:
University/Country: Université Marien NGOUABI / République du Congo	
Date Manuscript Received: 29/05/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 10/06/2020
Manuscript Title : Nouveaux modes de transport urbain et croissance urbaine de Bamako	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0545/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<p>Oui mais, la croissance démographique et l’urbanisation sont des conséquences des nouveaux modes de transport urbain. Je propose le titre ci-dessous : Urbanisation et nouveaux modes de transport urbain en Afrique de l’Ouest : cas de la ville de Bamako (Mali).</p>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Oui, mais il serait mieux de rédiger clairement la phrase de la méthode et supprimer les écrits en rouge. Reformuler les écrits en jaunes pour mieux poser le problème de transport public (moto taxi).	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4,5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Oui, mais il faudrait prendre en compte les quelques suggestions faites dans le document	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La méthode est claire, mais il faudrait mettre les références de la méthode utilisée. Notamment les références sur les enquêtes qualitatives et l'élaboration d'un guide d'entretien.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Oui, mais il faudrait revoir la numérotation des titres et sous-titres dans le corps du texte ; aussi revoir les phrases qui sont très courtes et harmoniser le temps de rédaction dans le texte.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Pas de commentaire.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3,5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Oui, mais il faudrait supprimer les écrits en rouge dans le corps du texte.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): **Sujet d'actualité dans les pays africains, prendre en compte la suggestion du titre et le manuscrit peut-être publié sans contrainte après correction.**

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Said KAMMAS	Email: said.kammas@gmail.com
University/Country: Centre des Etudes « et Recherches en Logistique et Développement CERLED »/Maroc	
Date Manuscript Received: 15/05/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 26/05/2020
Manuscript Title: Nouveaux modes de transport urbain et croissance urbaine de Bamako	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0545/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes / No
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes / No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes / No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4

Le titre actuel « Nouveaux modes de transport urbain et croissance urbaine de Bamako », ne me semble pas pertinent avec le contenu d'article, étant donné, les motos-taxis évoqués dans l'article, ne sont pas un nouveau mode de transport à Bamako

Proposition : L'inadéquation de l'évolution des modes de transport urbain et la croissance urbaine de BAMAKO

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.

4

N°	Critères	Evaluations	Corrections / propositions
1	la clarté de l'objet	Ok	RAS
2	la méthodologie	Ok	RAS
3	La présentation des résultats	Insuffisamment présentés	A expliciter davantage les outputs de l'article

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Juste des petites erreurs et compléments à rajouter, voir détails ci-après :

N°	Page	Statut	Erreurs / Ecart	Corrections / propositions
1	Dans tout le document	Forme	les moto taxis	les motos-taxis
2	7	Forme	Il apporté 120 Katakatanis au ali.	Il a apporté 120 Katakatanis au Mε
3	11	Forme	. Il est difficile de mettre en place une action commune à cause des rivalités en les différents syndicats des transporteurs	. Il est difficile de mettre en place une action commune à cause des rivalités entre les différents syndicats des transporteurs

4. The study methods are explained clearly.

3

Le titre « Matériel et Méthode de collecte des données », a été traité d'une manière trop rapide (11 lignes sur 391 de l'article entier, soit 2.8%).

Il sera très recommandable de présenter plus de renseignements sur les points suivants :

- Nombre par profils et caractéristiques des quarantaines personnes interviewées ;
- Les axes et principaux points et questions abordés dans le guide d'entretien ;
- Les caractéristiques de la presse quotidienne consultée : périmètre de diffusion, les auteurs...
Les outils de collecte utilisés

5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

4

On a constaté le point à améliorer suivant :

Localisation Paragraphe		Constats	Recommandations / propositions
De la page 3 à la page 12	Il s'agit du titre « Résultat » qui a été présenté en 10 pages de texte, soit 244 lignes sur 391 de l'article entier (de l'introduction à la conclusion), soit 62%	<p>➔ Trop de détail, parfois sans valeur ajoutée par rapport à l'objet de l'article</p> <p>➔ nombre des sous-titres insuffisant, et trop de paragraphes pour quelques un : un des sous-points (a) a été présenté en 6 pages de texte (125 lignes)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A réduire les paragraphes ayant beaucoup de détails non pertinents pour le lecteur ; • Optimiser le texte, en présentant les données, faits et statistiques apportant un éclaircissement et une valeur ajoutée par rapport à l'objet de l'article • Soulager la lecture et la compréhension du Titre « Résultats » par plusieurs sous-titres secondaires, en soignant l'équilibre de traitement des différents points.
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			5
<i>RAS</i>			
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.			4
<p>- Les sources bibliographiques me semblent riches et nombreux</p> <p>- Après un contrôle par échantillonnage des sources bibliographiques ; La référence ci-après ne figure nulle part dans le corps de l'article :</p> <p>Ouédraogo Alice, 2018, « Côte d'Ivoire-Transport : au revoir «Gbaka» d'Abidjan et bienvenue aux « Grand Taxi » », http://www.afrikipresse.fr/societe/cote-d-ivoire-transport-au-revoir-gbaka-d-abidjan-et-bienvenue-aux-grand-taxi, consulté le 24 avril 2018.</p>			

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

- A adapter le titre selon la problématique de l'article ;

- A enrichir le résumé par la présentation des résultats de la recherche ;
- A corriger les petites erreurs d'orthographe ;
- A enrichir la Matériel et Méthode de collecte des données ;
- A traiter le titre résultat selon les recommandations susmentionnées ;
- A affecter la référence bibliographique susmentionnée dans son texte correspondant dans le corps de l'article.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Rien à signaler

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Mohammed IDRISSI	Email:
University/Country: Institut National de Recherche Halieutique, Marocco	
Date Manuscript Received: 29 May 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 07 July 2020
Manuscript Title: Nouveaux modes de transport urbain et croissance urbaine de Bamako	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0545/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
The method doesn't include the whole cycle	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
Please put significant pictures of the subject. These pictures must show the real problems using this kind of transport	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The method doesn't include the whole cycle of the year, like that, we can see the impact of each season on the urban transport

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The author must put significant pictures of the subject. These pictures must show the real problems using this kind of transport

This is an average work based on this kind of approach

European Scientific Journal
European Scientific Institute

