



**Paper: “Sensibilidad y Resistencia a Antibióticos de Cepas Probióticas Empleadas en Productos Comerciales”**

Corresponding Author: Roman Jimenez Vera

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n18p43

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Morla, Federico Daniel, Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto – Córdoba - Argentina

Reviewer 2: María Leticia Bautista Díaz, UNAM. México

Reviewer 3: Juan Fernandez Universidad Nacional Experimental de los Llanos Occidentales “Ezequiel Zamora”, San Carlos, estado Cojedes, Venezuela.

Published: 30.06.2020

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: María Leticia Bautista-Díaz	
University/Country: UNAM. México	
Date Manuscript Received: 15-05-20	Date Review Report Submitted: 20-05-20
Manuscript Title: Sensibilidad a Antibióticos de Cepas Probióticas Empleadas en Productos Comerciales	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 83-05-2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Si	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Si	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Si	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> El título es claro, pero de acuerdo con los resultados se podría agregar la palabra “Resistencia”. Es decir, Sesibilidad y resistencia a...	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and</b>	<b>4</b>

<b>results.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Lo que se ubica como conclusión en el resumen, suena como a justificación, en lugar se debe referir, cuál es la aportación del estudio, es decir poner mayor énfasis del por qué es importante evaluar la susceptibilidad...	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Felicidades por la sintaxis y ortografía	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
El método puede mejorar, ya que, será necesario indicar varios apartados que no aparecen, por ejemplo: Diseño de investigación, tipo de estudio, escenario, (variables y su operacionalización están completos) y su procedimiento (aunque aparece debe quedar muy claro en su apartado correspondiente)	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Es amplio y muy claro	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Se podrían precisar las aportaciones de los hallazgos, en el manuscrito hago alguna sugerencia en específico.	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
30% de la citas son del 2015 a la fecha, se podría complementar las que ya están, con algunas otras más actuales, o dejar claro la insuficiencia de fuentes recientes. En tanto que, en el apartado de referencias, invito a los autores a que definan un estilo, por ejemplo, algunas tienen un formato APA y algunas otras, tienen un estilo combinado APA-Vancouver	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Los autores presentan el artículo titulado “Sensibilidad a Antibióticos de Cepas Probióticas Empleadas en Productos Comerciales”, es un buen artículo con hallazgos muy interesantes, adecuado marco teórico, adecuadas tablas y una amplia y sustentada

discusión, obstante, es importante considerar además, de los detalles que indico en el manuscrito, dos modificaciones que son importantes para enriquecer el trabajo.

- 1) Completar su método con sus respectivos apartados.
- 2) Separar los resultados de la discusión. Indicando puntualmente los hallazgos inéditos. Posteriormente, abrir el apartado de la discusión. De esta manera, su material será más comprensible para el lector.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

The reviewed article is a good article, but some details need to be corrected out. In the manuscript file there are some specific suggestions and other one are in this form evaluation.

## ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Juan J. Fernández-Molina	
University/Country: Universidad Nacional Experimental de los Llanos Occidentales “Ezequiel Zamora”, San Carlos, estado Cojedes, Venezuela.	
Date Manuscript Received: 05/15/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 05/24/2020
Manuscript Title: <b>Sensibilidad a Antibióticos de Cepas Probióticas Empleadas en Productos Comerciales</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 83.05.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The title is clear and acceptable with the content of the manuscript.</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(The abstract in each language is clear and shows objects, methods and result.s)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(See page 7: paragraph 4, line 4, as indicated in the text.)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Yes, the methodology employed is clearly explained and can be reproducible by others.)</i>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(The body of the paper is very neat and does not contain errors.)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(The conclusions or summary are very precise and reinforced by the content of the paper)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(The references are updated, comprehensive and suitable.)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Congratulations to the author for presenting valuable information to the scientific community.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

This article has been well written and presents important scientific information regarding to the susceptibility of probiotics isolated from commercial products, therefore I strongly recommend its publication.

