

Paper: “**Prevalence and Practice of Self-Medication among Female**”

Corresponding Author: Jesusa Villanueva-Gutierrez

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n18p273

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Abeer Alatawi, University of Tabuk, Saudi Arabia

Reviewer 2: Khawla Daoud, Tabuk university, Tabuk

Reviewer 3: Cinaria Albadri, Trinity College, Dublin

Published: 30.06.2020

## ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Dr Abeer Alatawi	Email:
University/Country: University of Tabuk , Saudi Arabia	
Date Manuscript Received: 7/5/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 9/5/202
Manuscript Title: <b>Prevalence and Practice of Self-Medication among Nursing Students in University of Tabuk, Saudi Arabia</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0565/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <b>Yes</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <b>Yes</b>	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <b>Yes</b>	

### **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<b><i>Questions</i></b>	<b><i>Rating Result</i></b> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	
The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	

<p>In this manuscript, the authors aimed determine the Prevalence and Practice of Self-Medication among Nursing Students in University of Tabuk, Saudi Arabia</p> <p>The topic of this manuscript was attractive and well accordant with the scope of this journal.</p>	
<p><b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b></p>	
<p>The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results It is just need to clarify the title of objects, methods and results in the abstract according to the journal guideline.</p>	
<p><b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b></p>	
<p>It would be perfect and ready for publication, after following places were revised:</p> <p>There were some grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. Some sentences in present tense where should be in past tens in sampling section.</p> <p>They are 146 students. Freshmen are 34 (23.3%), Second year are 37 (25.3%), Third year are 41 (28.1%) and the Fourth year students are 34 (23.3%) respectively.</p>	
<p><b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b></p>	
<p>Description of methods is good. The time of conducting the research is not stated in the study.</p>	
<p><b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b></p>	
<p>The topic of this manuscript was attractive and well accordant with the scope of this journal. However, the introduction is too long.</p>	
<p><b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b></p>	
<p>Some typing errors need to be corrected : line no 8 in the conclusion. The conclusions are supported by the content.</p>	
<p><b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b></p>	
<p><b>The references are comprehensive</b> and need to be in one style of Referencing ( HARVARD style or APA style).</p>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

## ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Khawla Daoud	Email:
University/Country: Tabuk university /Tabuk	
Date Manuscript Received: May 7, 2020	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Prevalence and Practice of Self-Medication among Nursing Students in University of Tabuk, Saudi Arabia	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
The title is clear with appropriate structure and important to the nursing field also the language used was easy, understandable. As the sample size was taken among female students only it is suggested to add the word “female” to the title to become “Prevalence and Practice of Self-Medication among female Nursing Students in University of Tabuk, Saudi Arabia.”	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
The abstract summarizes most of the study, includes 5 subtitles and key findings with an appropriate length (323 words). The abstract was not structured with highlighted subheadings (Purpose, Study Design, Methods Results and Conclusion)	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>5</b>
The paper is effective, clear and well organized.	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
The cross-sectional descriptive and a correlational research designs were employed effectively with 100% Sampling, and a standardized questionnaire as the main tool in data gathering resulted in clear and accurate fulfillment of the research objectives	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
The paper is clear and well organized. It puts into perspective what follows, with appropriate size for each part. The purpose of the study is clear. The result was explained in chronological order and the <b>data presented mainly in tables. In the discussion the authors summarized the results of the study and used similar previous studies to support their results.</b>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
The conclusions and summary are accurate and supported by the content. It contains the key findings of the study	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
The study have good references but they must be alphabetized by the first author’s last name, you have to follow APA style, in references more than six authors they included the first followed by “et al” and you should follow the name of the journal by, volume number, inclusive page numbers, and year of	

publication for all references

It's recommended to use up to date references (last 5-6 years).

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

General: This article addresses an important topic in Self-Medication among Nursing Students.

Title: The word “female” should be added to the title: Prevalence and Practice of Self-Medication among Female Nursing Students in University of Tabuk, Saudi Arabia.

Limitations: Include limitations for this study, if any.

Discussion: The authors appropriately cited past literature with similar findings which would be most helpful to the reader. It is better to use up to date LR's, preferably during the last 5-6 years.

Finally, follow APA style in your study.

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

This is clearly a student's paper. The topic is timely and will be of interest to the readers of the journal. The review of the literature is thorough so the reader will be given an adequate background about the topic. The editors should be innovative and support author's innovations.

Editors are also expected to act properly after getting feedback or realizing the mistakes by using proper tools Corrections, clarifications, whenever required.

## ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Cinaria Albadri	Email:
University/Country: Trinity College Dublin	
Date Manuscript Received: 11/05/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 22/05/2020
Manuscript Title: Prevalence and Practice of Self-Medication among Nursing Students in University of Tabuk, Saudi Arabia	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 65.05.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

### **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<b><i>Questions</i></b>	<b><i>Rating Result</i></b> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4 (Good)</b>
<i>Title is clear and is relevant to the purpose of the study</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3 (Average)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Methods and Results clearly presented.</i></li> <li>- <i>Abstract length (preferable to be reduced not to exceed standard word count)</i></li> </ul>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3 (Average)</b>
<p><i>The manuscript contains slight spelling and grammar mistakes throughout the text, that requires a review by the author.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>(non-perception medication: needs to be rephrased as non-prescription medication)</i></li> </ul>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4 (Good)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Methods and materials are clearly defined.</i></li> </ul>	

- <i>Data collection, ethical approval and data analysis are clearly explained.</i>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>3 (Average)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Generally, formatting and font size of body of the paper is clear.</i></li> <li>- <i>Proofreading of the whole body is required to be free of grammar and spelling errors</i></li> </ul>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4 (Good)</b>
<i>Conclusion is accurately presented and supported by the content.</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3 (Average)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>References up-to-date would be more appropriate to consider as there are several recent studies that exist where the author can cite within the manuscript.</i></li> <li>- <i>Formatting in terms of Font Size and Style (as the author needs to adapt one font size and style).</i></li> </ul>	

**Overall Recommendation**(mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

- Minor corrections are required, as suggested above.
- Regarding the references, you need to cite recent and up-to-date references as there are several recent studies in the field. This would strengthen your article in the scientific scope.
- Formatting of the font size and style is required in the References section.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

Can be accepted for publishing after completion of corrections by author