



Paper: “Contribution of Indigenous Entrepreneurship Among Micro and Small Livestock Enterprises to Economic Development in Turkana County, Kenya”

Corresponding Author: Wambui King’ong’o

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n19p274

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Samson Nyangau
Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology (JKUAT)

Reviewer 2: Napoleon Kurantin,
Institute of Management and Public Administration, Ghana

Reviewer 3: Simon KabaKanlisi
University for Development Studies, Ghana

Reviewer 4: Shavkat Hasanov
Samarkand Branch of TSUE, Uzbekistan

Published: 31.07.2020

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. Samson Nyang'au Paul	
University/Country: Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology (JKUAT)	
Date Manuscript Received: 27/05/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 31/06/2020
Manuscript Title: THE CONTRIBUTION OF INDIGENOUS ENTREPRENEURSHIP AMONG MICRO AND SMALL LIVESTOCK ENTERPRISES TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN TURKANA COUNTY, KENYA	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0613/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No. The editorial board can use their own discretion	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No. Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No. Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(. Please insert your comments)</i>	
The paper is complete and adequate in as far as the form and content of an article is concerned. The paper sufficiently covers all the major aspects required of an article.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results, however, I suggest to include the four constituents or aspects of entrepreneurial culture, i.e. entrepreneurial attributes, entrepreneurial value and entrepreneurial behavior a part from the entrepreneurial mindset stand alone.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
OK	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
OK	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
OK	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
I propose to include the new knowledge/theory. It's important to consider expunging citations from the conclusions and summary	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
For consistence use the same APA format i.e. “ &” and not “and”	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed: The editorial board can proceed with minor amendments	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): Good paper
Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: Proceed with the suggested minor corrections.