



Paper: “Aplicación de la Metodología Neuroestimuladora en la Enseñanza de las Matemáticas”

Corresponding Author: Marcel Méndez

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n22p52

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Miriam Martínez Vázquez
Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, México

Reviewer 2: David Perez Jorge
University of La Laguna, Spain

Published: 31.08.2020

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Miriam Martínez Vázquez	Email:
University/Country: Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, México.	
Date Manuscript Received: 11/Junio/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 11/Junio/2020
Manuscript Title: APLICACIÓN DE METODOLOGÍA NEUROESTIMULADORA EN LA ENSEÑANZA DE MATEMÁTICAS	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 93.06.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
Considero que le faltan palabras para que sea completamente entendible. Por ejemplo: APLICACIÓN DE LA METODOLOGÍA NEUROESTIMULADORA EN LA ENSEÑANZA DE LAS MATEMÁTICAS.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<p>1. (Méndez, y otros, 2019) Si es la primera vez que lo menciona entonces debe colocar todos los autores.</p> <p>2. "etc." No abreviar.</p> <p>3. Cambiar "&" por "y", ya que es un artículo en español y debe estar homogéneo.</p> <p>4. En este enunciado "...Y de manera romántica se creado un simbolismo entre la cabeza y el corazón..." No se entiende, sugerencia: se ha creado o se creó.</p> <p>5. En esta parte "...Por lo ello, el profesorado..." No se entiende, sugerencia: quitar la palabra "lo".</p> <p>6. En esta parte "...la cual funcionaria un como enganche..." No se entiende, sugerencia: quitar la palabra "un".</p> <p>7. En esta parte "...la cual se aplica en la mayoría de las instituciones educativas objeto de estudio..." No se entiende, sugerencia: "...educativas que fueron objetos de estudio."</p>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
En todas las referencias cambiar "&" por "y"; en la referencia: Martínez, K. (5 de marzo de 2020), solo escribir el año.	

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Considero que es un artículo sumamente interesante y aborda uno de los grandes problemas de la matemática educativa, el cual requiere atención y dedicación de parte de los docentes y directivos de las Instituciones educativas.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: David	
University/Country: Universidad de La Laguna	
Date Manuscript Received: 10-07-2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 23-07-2020
Manuscript Title: APLICACIÓN DE LA METODOLOGÍA NEUROESTIMULADORA EN LA ENSEÑANZA DE LAS MATEMÁTICAS	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 93.06.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
------------------	--

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Debe centrarse en la intención del estudio	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Debe adecuarlo según los comentarios realizado y notas puestas en el documento	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	1
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Debe explicarse y mejorarse en base a los comentarios	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La discusión y algunas partes del trabajo deben ser mejoradas en base a los comentarios realizados en el documento	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Revisar en base a los cambios introducidos en el texto	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Revisar las referencias del la discusión, no se puede discutir nada que no se haya abordado a nivel teórico Le recomiendo que lea y cite el siguiente trabajo. Es una obra en la que se presenta una distribución correcta de un estudio de estas características y le puede interesar de cara al desarrollo teórico de este trabajo <ul style="list-style-type: none">https://doi.org/10.1080/03004279.2020.1786427	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	

Return for major revision and resubmission	X
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Deben hacer los cambios recomendados antes de publicar el artículo

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: