



**Paper: “The Effect of Capital Structure on Financial Performance with Firm Size as a Moderating Variable of Non-Financial Firms Listed at the Nairobi Securities Exchange”**

Corresponding Author: Meshack Kerongo

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2020.v16n22p139](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2020.v16n22p139)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Tirso Hernandez Gracia  
Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, México

Reviewer 2: Angela M Kithinji  
University of Nairobi, Kenya

Published: 31.08.2020

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Date Manuscript Received: 15/07/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 19/07/2020
Manuscript Title: The effect of Capital structure on Financial Performance with Firm Size as a moderating variable of non financial firms listed at the Nairobi Securities Exchange Kerongo, Meshack	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 83.07.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <b>No</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:    Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:    Yes	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The title is consistent with the content</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>the abstract contains the necessary elements</i>	

<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Yes, especially words that are repeated in the same paragraph. It is recommended to avoid redundancy.</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Yes, The methods used are clear</i>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>In general yes, just avoid redounding in the same paragraph.</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Yes, the conclusions are related to the research carried out</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Yes, although there are details in the syntax bibliography. It is recommended to add the doi or url to each reference, as far as possible.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>x</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Improve wording in some paragraphs, as well as details of repeating words.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

None.

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Dr Angela Mucece Kithinji	
University/Country: University of Nairobi, Kenya	
Date Manuscript Received: 21/07/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 21/07/2020
Manuscript Title: The Effect of Capital Structure on Financial Performance with Firm Size as a Moderating Variable of Non-Financial Firms Listed at the Nairobi Securities Exchange	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0783/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:    Yes/No    Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:    Yes/No    Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:    Yes/No    Yes	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <b>To be consistent in Title case</b>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>5</b>

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <b>Ok</b>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <b>Yes. The authors to edit including the reference of the Tables during the discussions and statement of the coefficients. For example coefficient of 59.1 instead of 0.591</b>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <b>Ok</b>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <b>Clear but contains a few grammatical errors</b>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <b>Ok</b>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<b>X</b>
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The paper is of high quality. Edit the paper and reference of tables in the discussions

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

**Maintain quality of papers allowed for publication**