

Paper: "Effect of Credit Risk on Shareholders' Wealth of Listed Commercial Banks in Kenya"

Corresponding Author: Abigail Kinyamasyo

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n22p205

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Benjamin Owuor Ombok Maseno University, Kenya

Published: 31.08.2020

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:	
Manuscript Title: Credit Risk and Shareholders Wealth for Commercial Banks in Kenya.		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 66.06.2020		

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]	
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3	
The title should be explicit to define what the nature of relationship that the study intended to achieve. As stated it would go for correlation, regression or comparative analysis.		
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3	
A study's objective could be to analyse, establish, determine, find Among other outcome based adjectives.		
It is necessary to state the effect coefficients of the measures of Non-performing loans, credit liquidity, loan loss provisions and credit ratings; since effect studies are		

quantitative in nature. Therefore the results that draw the resear conclusion that credit risk had a negative effect on shareholder seen from the abstract. It is therefore hard to deduce the recom results. The background should be explicit on what the paper for it the risk management practices or the forms of risk? What does the performance status of the banks reveal?	s wealth cannot be mendations from no	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4	
Make the content in Paragraph one page two clearer; especially	y lines one to five	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4	
Study methods are well explained		
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5	
The body of the paper consistently and clearly explains the paper constructs and content		
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4	
The conclusions are consistent with study results		
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4	
The References are comprehensive and appropriate		

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The author should strive to present the facts in a simple way by using short sentences.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: