



Paper: **“Bangladesh-Myanmar Border Relations: A Study of Some Geopolitical and Economic Issues”**

Corresponding Author: Md. Sayedur Rahman

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n22p320

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Gabriel Anibal Monzon
University of Moron, Argentina

Reviewer 2: Sharad K. Soni
Jawaharlal Nehru University, India

Published: 31.08.2020

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: GABRIEL ANIBAL MONZON	Email:
University/Country: Universidad de Morón - Argentina	
Date Manuscript Received: 17/7/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 30/7/2020
Manuscript Title: Bangladesh-Myanmar Border Relations: A Study of Some Geopolitical and Economic Issues	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 06.08.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>It's very clear</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</i>	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>There are not few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>The study methods could be explained more clearly, but are corrects.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
<i>Yes, the body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>Yes, the conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>The references are comprehensive and appropriate</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): It is a very good article. I find more interesting the reflections about de reality and the investigations about the ethnics issues. Congratulations.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: -----

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Prof. Sharad K Soni	
University/Country: Jawaharlal Nehru University/India	
Date Manuscript Received: 31 July 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 07 August 2020
Manuscript Title: Bangladesh-Myanmar Border Relations: A Study of Some Geopolitical and Economic Issues	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 06.08.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>The title is clear and goes with the content of the article as analysed in the body of the text.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2

<i>The abstract presents objects but not methods and results.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Negligible grammatical errors/spelling mistakes.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>The study methods have been explained clearly along with objective.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>The body of the paper is clear without containing errors.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>The conclusion appears to be accurate and supported by the content, though first two sentences of the conclusion should be rewritten or edited in terms of clarity.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
<i>The references part is little weak. Though the secondary sources are comprehensive and appropriate, there is no single primary sources. Since the article is, in part, based on the survey conducted at two sub-districts of Cox's Bazar, Ukhia and Teknaf, to study the border issues between Bangladesh and Myanmar, primary sources need to be included.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The paper/article needs minor revisions at two fronts: (1) The abstract and (2) References. To start with, the abstract must present methods and results. That is to say, the methods adopted to analyse the subject should be clarified in the abstract along with results. References lacks primary sources which are necessary to include in this article as in part it is based on the survey conducted at two sub-districts of Cox's Bazar, Ukhia and Teknaf, to study the border issues between Bangladesh and Myanmar. Besides, referencing need to follow the style of ESJ.