



Paper: “Características De Salud Y Seguridad En Trabajadores De Una Empresa Automotriz”

Corresponding Author: Ruth Magdalena Gallegos Torres

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n24p312

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Gustavo Argenis Hernandez Segura Universidad Autónoma De Querétaro / México

Reviewer 2: Rafael Antonio Estévez Ramos, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México/México

Reviewer 3: Velis, Evelio, Barry University, USA

Reviewer 4: Margarita Gonzalez, Uaeh/Mexico

Reviewer 5: Dr. Eliazar Gonzalez Carrillo, Facultad de Enfermería y Nutriología de la Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua

Published: 31.08.2020

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>Se recomienda solo el título en español debido a que el manuscrito se encuentra en español propiamente.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>Completo</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Principalmente de estilo de redacción y localización al segmento o los segmentos del manuscrito propiamente, es decir, analizar el orden y el lugar en donde se encuentran algunas de la ideas, argumentos, sustentos, inferecias y demás en el manuscrito.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>Completo</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
<i>Algunos muy pocos señalados con marcatexto</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>Sólo revisar el estilo de redacción y ubicación respecto a resultados-conclusión-discusión.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>Algunas fuentes no se repiten pero si provienen de la fuente matriz por lo que se</i>	

recomienda un poco mas de diversidad.

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	☆
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Me parece un excelente trabajo, en general se puede entender la idea, es sólo que hay que analizar que el universo lector es demasiado amplio y variable por lo que se recomienda reflexionar respecto a las observaciones correspondientes. Felicidades.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Accepted, minor revision needed. Thank you so much!

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Margarita González Cano	
University/Country: UAEH/MEXICO	
Date Manuscript Received: 29/05/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 5/06/2020
Manuscript Title: Características de salud y seguridad en trabajadores de una empresa automotriz.	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 63.05.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:	Yes/No YES
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

YES

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No

YES

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Solo añadir país.una empresa automotriz en México.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> El objetivo indica el qué y el cómo, hace falta saber el para qué.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> En el resumen dice: estos lugares puede, corregir a plural En la introducción segundo párrafo utiliza muy seguido”implica”, sugiero un sinónimo. Al referirse a Figura o Tabla, que se utilice mayúscula. En en autor Lamberto anotar año. Arana (Arana, 2015). Solo dejar Arana (2015) Cuidar que los títulos de las Figuras y Tablas queden en la misma página.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

<i>Lo de enfermería podría quedar como recomendación, para respaldar su inserción.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Pueden enriquecer su trabajo, para que no quede solo en una descripción.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Definir al revisor bajo que estilo se deben revisar las referencias.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. Eliazar Gonzalez Carrillo	Email:
University/Country: Facultad de Enfermería y Nutriología de la Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua.	
Date Manuscript Received: 29/05/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 05/06/2020

Manuscript Title: Health and safety characteristics in workers of an automotive company
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0563/20
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>El título es acorde con el contenido del manuscrito.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>Si el resumen presenta claramente el objetivo y metodología en el resumen.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Hay algunos errores gramaticales de redacción, y no describen las siglas que se encuentran dentro del texto, además faltan algunas citas y se realizaron algunas adecuaciones.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>Se entiende que el tipo de muestreo es por conveniencia de los investigadores pero no lo mencionan y tampoco refieren, el instrumento ni señalan cuanto ítems lo constituyeron y si fue validado por algún mecanismo y que paquete estadístico utilizaron para realizar el análisis de datos, colocan un cuadro de riesgos y no establecen la fuente.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>Tiene algunos errores de redacción y falta de acentos que ya fueron colocados.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and	4

supported by the content.	
<i>Si se hace una conclusión y discusión</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>Si son apropiadas y actuales</i>	

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

En los resultados donde exponen los porcentajes considero que es pertinente hacer un cuadro y con ello para dar claridad a los datos y reducir en gran medida el texto en esa sección, las gráficas tiene el título pero está incompleto ya que debe responder: que, quien cuando se le pone un ejemplo.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Consider que con seas corrections is publishable