



Paper: “Déterminants De La Fertilisation Dans Les Systèmes De Riziculture Pluviale Stricte Dans Les Zones Nord Et Sud Soudanaises Du Burkina Faso”

Corresponding Author: Abdramane Dumba Sanon

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n27p38

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Abdou Maman Mansour Boubakar Bâ of Tillabéri/Niger

Reviewer 2: Hamadé Siguecnrst/Inera/ Burkina Faso

Published: 30.09.2020

# **ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020**

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: ABDOU Maman Manssour	
University/Country: Boubakar Bâ of Tillabéri/Niger	
Date Manuscript Received: 31/07/20	Date Review Report Submitted: 05/08/20
<b>Manuscript Title: Déterminants de la fertilisation dans les systèmes de riziculture pluviale stricte dans les zones nord et sud soudanaises du Burkina Faso.</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0814/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	4
(Please insert your comments) <b>Le contenu de l'article cadre parfaitement avec le titre</b>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	4
(Please insert your comments)	

<b>Le résumé a été bien fait, répondant aux normes standards d'un résumé scientifique comportant l'objectif, les methods et les résultats.</b>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Très peu de fautes ou d'erreurs grammaticales</b>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Elle a été synthétique et bien structure</b>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
25 références bibliographiques ont été citées dans l'article ce qui a fait que le travail a été assez discuté.	

### **Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Se referrer aux observations, suggestions et corrections faites dans le texte. Un effort doit être fourni pour améliorer le tableau 9 car il ne montre pas clairement les corrélations existantes entre les différents paramètres.

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# **ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020**

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name:	Email:
University/Country:	
Date Manuscript Received: 2020.08.14	Date Review Report Submitted: 2020.08.19
<b>ManuscriptTitle: Déterminants de la fertilisation dans les systèmes de riziculture pluviale stricte dans les zones nord et sud soudanaises du Burkina Faso.</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	3
(Please insert your comments) <b>L'adaptation des producteurs en fertilisation dans les systèmes de riziculture pluviale stricte dans les zones nord et sud soudanaises du Burkina Faso</b>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and</b>	2

<b>results.</b>	
(Please insert your comments)	
<b>Le cadre théorique a été omis</b>	
En l'occurrence :	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• la théorie de la production</li> <li>• la théorie de l'adoption adaptation</li> </ul>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
(Please insert your comments)	
L'objectif de la présente étude serait d'analyser l'adaptation des producteurs en fertilisation du riz.	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Le Modèle de Régression</b>	
Deux modèles sont couramment utilisés pour analyser les déterminants du choix ou de la décision des producteurs d'opérer des ajustements ou des modifications, tels qu'à adopter des stratégies ou des technologies	
Par ailleurs et dans une suite logique	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>La spécification du modèle</b></li> <li>• <b>La méthode d'estimation et spécification des variables</b></li> <li>• <b>Le Tableau :</b> Spécification des variables</li> </ul>	
(Please insert your comments)	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>2</b>
(Please insert your comments)	
Manuscrit intéressant et original, seulement il y a eu des omissions sur la structuration pour répondre au format d'article conventionnel	
Variables introduites dans les modèles de régression demandent une meilleure spécification	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>3</b>
(Please insert your comments)	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
(Please insert your comments)	

--	--

**Overall Recommendation**(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Après examen du manuscrit, voici-joints mes commentaires et suggestions

**Commentaires :**

Manuscrit intéressant et original, seulement il y a eu des omissions sur la structuration pourraépondre au format d'article conventionnel

Mes suggestions sont dans le suivi de corrections mais, j'ai résumé ici les points saillants

**Suggestions :**

L'objectif de la présente étude sera itd'analyser l'adaptation des producteurs en fertilisation du riz.

Dans le format, il y a eu des omissions des points importants:

**Le cadre théorique**

En l'occurrence :

- la théorie de la production
- la théorie de l'adoption adaptation

**Le Modèle de Régression**

**La spécification du modèle**

**La méthode d'estimation et spécification des variables**

**La spécification des variables**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**