



Paper: “The Impact of Russian Educational Policy on the Formation of Georgian Education System”

Corresponding Author: Lana Kurashvili

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n25p1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Adeoti, Ezekiel Oladele
Lagos State University, Ojo-Lagos, Nigeria

Reviewer 2: Bunmi Adesua
Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Published: 30.09.2020

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: ADEOTI, Ezekiel Oladele	
University/Country: Lagos State University, Ojo-Lagos, NIGERIA	
Date Manuscript Received: 20 Jul 2020 14:07	Date Review Report Submitted: 29 Aug 2020
Manuscript Title: Russian Educational Policy Influence On the Formation of Georgian Education System	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments):</i> Some tweaking will make for a better title. From: Russian Educational Policy Influence On the Formation of Georgian Education System; to The Influence of Russian Educational Policy on the Formation of Georgian Education	

System.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<p><i>(Please insert your comments)</i></p> <p>The Abstract, apart from the account of the roles Tsarist Russia and Soviet Russia played in the educational policy of the education system in Georgia, must clearly state how an independent Georgia is now different or similar to the discarded policies, or how Georgia has adopted a new teaching and learning policies.</p>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<p><i>(Please insert your comments)</i></p> <p>This paper requires a thorough and meticulous care in editing so as to give better and proper comprehension, with careful use of language. It is tedious reading through it.</p>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<p><i>(Please insert your comments)</i></p> <p>The historical research method, with data collection, among others, used to interpret, evaluate, and draw conclusions from past events did not succeed to a large extent. These copious materials: Archival, manuscripts, laws, documents, biographies, memoirs, official publications and magazines, historical essays, scientific papers and articles were not thoroughly synthesized, nor clearly articulated.</p>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
<p><i>(Please insert your comments)</i></p> <p>The body of the paper has a couple of structural issues, with inarticulate language usage and contains some errors of grammar and coherence, which is probably common among users of English as a second language.</p>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<p><i>(Please insert your comments)</i></p> <p>The summary succeeded from what the author has struggled throughout his paper to present.</p>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<p><i>(Please insert your comments)</i></p>	

The references are appropriate

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	X
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: