



**Paper: “Caractérisation Hydrologique et Sédimentaire de la Lagune de Nador (Maroc)”**

Corresponding Author: Mohammed Idrissi

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2020.v16n27p277](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2020.v16n27p277)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Abdou Ali ibrahim Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey/Niger

Reviewer 2: Bouharati Saddek

Published: 30.09.2020

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Abdou Ali Ibrahim	
University/Country: Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey/Niger	
Date Manuscript Received: 17/08/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 27/08/2020
Manuscript Title: <b>Caractérisation hydrologique et sédimentaire de la lagune de Nador (Maroc)</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0897/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <b>Yes</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <b>Yes</b>	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <b>Yes</b>	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <b>Titre conforme au contenu</b>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

<b>Voir commentaires dans le document</b>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Travail bien rédigé cependant quelques coquilles à corriger</b>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>La méthode est bien expliquée avec toutefois quelques améliorations à apporter (voir commentaire dans le contenu)</b>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Voir commentaires dans le contenu</b>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Voir commentaires dans le contenu du document</b>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Références récentes, cependant consultez les recommandations aux auteurs de la revue ESJ pour bien citer les références bibliographiques. Numéroté vos références.</b>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Se référer au document pour les commentaires et recommandations

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Date Manuscript Received: 2020-08-19	Date Review Report Submitted: 2020-08-28
Manuscript Title: Caractérisation hydrologique et sédimentaire de la lagune de Nador (Maroc)	
<b>Manuscript Number:</b> 0897/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:    Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes / No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes / No	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The title matches the content</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>The problem posed on which the study is structured. The abstract contain the problem posed.</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>5</b>

<i>Good linguistic quality</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>the parameters taken must be spread over time</i>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The content of the text is clear and structured according to standards</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
The conclusion summarizes the findings on the lagoon state	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>References to be expanded with recent resources</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

- Il faut ajouter un bref aperçu sur les techniques s'analyse utilisées et les résultats obtenu à ce niveau de l'introduction.
- Il serait plus judiciable de faire des relevés de l'ensemble des paramètres pas seulement sur le plan spatial mais aussi temporel. Car l'ensemble de ces paramètres prélevés sont fonction des changements climatiques notamment la température et la pluviométrie dans le temps. Les mesures seront alors plus significatives.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**