

Paper: "The Anthropomorphized Emotional Profile of a (Un) Healthy Tooth"

Corresponding Author: Maria do Rosário Dias

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n26p1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: José Grillo Evangelista

Portugal

Reviewer 2: Judith Biirah Kyambogo University, Uganda

Published: 30.09.2020

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: José Grillo Evangelista	Email:	
University/Country: Portugal		
Date Manuscript Received: 8/9/2020	Date Review Report Submitted:	
Manuscript Title: The Anthropomorphized Emotional Profile of a (Un)Healthy Tooth		
ESJ Manuscript Number: e –73.09.2020.doc		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:Yes You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:Yes		

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
Is correct	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
Is correct	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

4

Abstract

Line 1- Drawing as a projective technique allows the line 1- Drawing as a projective technique allows

Line 6- aged6-12 years – gets better- aged 6-12 years.

Line 8 - appointment at a – gets better - appointment at the

Line 13- The results point to an increase in subject's - gets better- The results point to an increase in **the**subject's

Line 14 - drawings in accordance to – gets better - drawings in accordance with the

Introduction:

 $\label{eq:Line-7-preferred} \textbf{Line 7-preferred research instrument in qualitative } \textbf{Health} - \textbf{gets better - preferred research instrument in qualitative health}$

Line 10 - emotional maturity and difficulty in transmitting their ideas verbally, - gets better- remove comma

Line 20 - Additionally, drawing as a projective technique, gets better - remove comma

Line 22 - and intrapersonal thinking processes of children and to- gets better - remove to

Line 40 - According to the current scientific literature, what the child thinks, - gets better - remove comma

Methods:

Line 5 - healthy tooth and of gets better - preposition is redundant remove of

Line 8 - These children attended at least one dental appointment at $\frac{a}{a}$ – gets better- These children attended at least one dental appointment at the

Line 19 - protocols) depicting a healthy tooth and an approach gets better - protocols) depicting a healthy tooth and an

Results:

Line 1 - Results obtained allowed to verify – gets better – verification

Discussion:

Line 26 - representation for most children, possibly associated to—gets better - representation for most children, possibly associated with

Line 37 - associated to the depiction of tears, as a form of expression of sadness – gets better - associated with the depiction of tears, as a form of expression of sadness

Conclusion:

Line 8 - levels according to age and gender. Results point to an increase in – gets better - levels according to age and gender. Results point to an increase in the

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
Is correct	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5

Is correct	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
Is correct	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
Is correct	

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: