



Paper: “Adhésion aux Théories Conspirationnistes et Soutien aux Politiques Répressives à L’égard des Libertés Politiques en Contexte de Démocratie Autoritaire : Le Cas du Cameroun”

Corresponding Author: Messanga Adolphe

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2020.v16n25p51](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2020.v16n25p51)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Bakayoko Ismaila
Université Félix Houphouët Boigny d’Abidjan, Cote d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Seka Yapi Arsene Thierry
Ecole Normale Supérieure Abidjan, ENS Ivory Coast

Published: 30.09.2020

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: SSEKA YAPI	
University/Country: ENS Côte d'Ivoire	
Date Manuscript Received: 16/09/2020	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: adhésion aux théories conspirationnistes et soutien aux politiques répressives à l'égard des libertés politiques en contexte de démocratie autoritaire : le cas du cameroun	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 09103/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(le titre est en lien avec le contenu de l'article)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and	5

results.	
<i>(le résumé est très bien rédigé; il intègre les objectifs, hypothèses, les methods d'analyses et les instruments de travail. Les résultats apparaissent sous forme d.e conclusion)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(le texte ne contient pas de fautes grammaticales qui entachent la lecture et la compréhension.)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(les méthodes utilisées sont bien expliquées et bien appliquées)</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>Le corps du texte est dans l'ensemble très clair, moins d'erreurs apparaissent)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(la conclusion reprend l'ensemble du travail en rappelant les objectifs, les hypothèses, les outils et méthodes de travail sans oublier les résultats auxquels sont parvenus les auteurs.)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(l'actualité et la densité des références constituent une force pour ce travail scientifique)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): revoir les observations indiquées dans le texte

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: L'article peut être publié dès que les petites corrections auront été apportées.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: BAKAYOKO ISMAILA	
University/Country: Côte d'Ivoire	
Date Manuscript Received: 10 /09/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 19/09/2020
Manuscript Title: ADHÉSION AUX THÉORIES CONSPIRATIONNISTES ET SOUTIEN AUX POLITIQUES RÉPRESSIVES À L'ÉGARD DES LIBERTÉS POLITIQUES EN CONTEXTE DE DÉMOCRATIE AUTORITAIRE : LE CAS DU CAMEROUN	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>Le titre évoque à la fois l'hypothèse de l'étude et la thèse de l'auteur (Une modification est possible)</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>Des precisions sur l' Echantillon et technique d'échantillonnage sont necessaire</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

La formulation de votre sujet indique à la fois votre hypothèse et thèse de recherche. Pour un sujet empreint de polémique au point scientifique, il faut une position de neutralité dans votre formulation et laisser les résultats guider votre conclusion.

Tout simplement : **THÉORIES CONSPIRATIONNISTES ET POLITIQUES RÉPRESSIVES À L'ÉGARD DES LIBERTÉS POLITIQUES AU CAMEROUN**

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: