

Manuscript : “**Diversité Floristique Des Lianes De La Forêt Classée De Bouaflé, Centre-Ouest De La Côte D’ivoire**”

Submitted: 25 July 2020

Accepted: 06 October 2020

Published: 31 October 2020

Corresponding author: Cyriaque Agbon

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n30p14

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Edouard Akpinfa,
Université d’Abomey-Calavi, Benin

Reviewer 2: Yabi Ibouaïma,
Univ. of Abomey-Calavi, Bénin

Reviewer 3: Touré Maliaka
University of Kisangani-DRC

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: EdouardAkpinfra	Email:
University/Country: Université d'Abomey-Calavi (BENIN)	
Date Manuscript Received: 30/07/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 06/08/2020
Manuscript Title: Déterminants physiques de la gouvernance territoriale de la production de l'ananas dans le département de l'Atlantique au Bénin	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0826/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No YES	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No YES	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No YES	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>Nécessité de décrire les experts. Combien sont-ils et pourquoi sont-ils qualifiés d'experts? La méthode Delphi étant basée sur la perception des gens, ces informations sont nécessaires pour la solidité de l'approche méthodologique.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Revoir la méthodologie en précisant le panel des experts (effectif et qualification)

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Touré MALIAKA SEFU	
University/Country: University of Kisangani-DRC	
Date Manuscript Received: 10 Sept 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 12 Sept 2020
Manuscript Title: Déterminants physiques de la gouvernance territoriale de la production de l'ananas dans le département de l'Atlantique au Bénin	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>Le Titre est très clair et n'appelle à des acrobaties pour comprendre le problème...</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3

<i>Le problème n'est pas très bien spécifié. Les co-auteurs se plongent dans le résultat sans au préalable problématiser leur objet d'étude.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Trop peu de fautes d'orthographe ; sauf ouvrir l'interligne du texte à 1.5</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2.5
<i>Les co-auteurs n'ont fait que vanter la méthode sans en présenter les limites et les attitudes de contournement réservées pour ces fins</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>RAS</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>RAS</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>RAS</i>	

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comment and Suggestions to the Author(s): *Un texte intéressant qui doit être orienté principalement sur les déterminants de cette gouvernance; faire parler longuement les tableaux et figures.*

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: *Dès lors que les co-auteurs de ce projet d'article l'auront corrigé, ils prendront soin de nous retourner pour des dispositions conséquentes.*