



Manuscript: “La Gouvernance Urbaine Dans les Espaces Marchands a Bafoussam (Ouest -Cameroun)”

Submitted: 11 August 2020

Accepted: 26 October 2020

Published: 31 October 2020

Corresponding Author: Yemele Megnijo Merline Flore

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2020.v16n29p243](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2020.v16n29p243)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Tchekote Hervé
Université de Dschang, Cameroun

Reviewer 2: Arnauld Gbaguidi
Université d’Abomey-Calavi, Bénin

Reviewer 3: Mangambu Mokoso Jean De Dieu
University of Bukavu, Congo

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Mangambu Mokoso	
University/Country: DR Congo	
Date Manuscript Received: 24/09/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 01/09/2020
Manuscript Title: LA GOUVERNANCE URBAINE DANS LES ESPACES MARCHANDS A BAFOUSSAM (OUEST -CAMEROUN	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 86.08.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2,5/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> A reviser de cette maniere: LA GOUVERNANCE URBAINE DANS LES ESPACES MARCHANDS dans la ville de BAFOUSSAM (région de l'Ouest, CAMEROUN)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and	3/5

results.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Mais les auteurs vont corriger aux remarques	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2,5/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Beaucoup des phrases sont mal construites, il faut revoir beaucoup des paragraphes	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)n'est pas claire</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Voir dans le texte	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3,5/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
revoir les notes d'auteurs	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	XXXX
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Introduction incomplète, ne reflète pas les résultats
Ton texte n'a pas des discussions, il faut réfère la discussion.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: GBAGUIDI Arnaud	Email:
University/Country: Université d'Abomey-Calavi / Bénin	
Date Manuscript Received: 19septembre 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 24 septembre 2020
Manuscript Title: La gouvernance urbaine dans les espaces marchands à Bafoussam (ouest - Cameroun	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0886/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>Le titre est bien présenté et en adéquation avec le contenu de l'article</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>Le résumé est bien clair et a annoncé les objectifs, la méthodologie et les résultats de la recherche</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling	4

mistakes in this article.	
<i>Il y a très peu d'erreurs grammaticales et le style est convenable</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>La méthodologie utilisée est appropriée mais gagnerait davantage en qualité si la technique d'échantillonnage pour l'approche qualitative était aussi précisée</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>Le texte est bien présenté avec une bonne cohérence dans le développement . Ce qui facilite sa compréhension aisée</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>La conclusion est bonne et en adéquation avec le contenu</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>Les références sont acceptables</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Le problème posé dans le present article est très pertinent. Il s'agit des enjeux de la gouvernance des espaces marchands qui font l'objet de multiples stratégies d'acteurs à la fois individuels, collectifs ou institutionnels qui génèrent des conflits dans les différents rapports et en fonction des positions et des intérêts.

Pour davantage améliorer la qualité de cet article, il serait intéressant :

- de préciser la technique d'échantillonnage pour l'approche qualitative qui a été utilisée;
- de faire un tableau qui spécifie la situation du marché sur les 5 dernières années;
- d'actualiser la carte qui devra aussi situer la position géographique du marché;

- de dégager une analyse SWOT sur la gouvernance marchande schématisée dans la figure5

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: TCHEKOTE Hervé	Email:
University/Country: Cameroun	
Date Manuscript Received: 2/09/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 06/09/2020
Manuscript Title: LA GOUVERNANCE URBAINE DANS LES ESPACES MARCHANDS A BAFOUSSAM (OUEST -CAMEROUN)	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 86082020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Quelques remarques à prendre en compte	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Quelques remarques à prendre en compte	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Il y a des clarifications à faire au niveau de la présentation de la zone d'étude.	
Par ailleurs, il y a la question de jeux qu'il faut prendre avec attention. Tout n'est pas jeux, mais tout peut être enjeux.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Il y a dans cette partie, une analyse parfois très simpliste de certaines données. Il faut prendre du recul face aux dires de certain acteurs.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	1
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Cette bibliographie n'est pas bonne, il faut la revoir entièrement.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Rien à signaler.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: