

Paper: “Experiencias formativas de uso didáctico con plataforma virtual para el aprendizaje de idioma inglés en estudiantes de la carrera mecánica industrial”

Submitted: 12 August 2020

Accepted: 26 October 2020

Published: 30 November 2020

Corresponding Author: Francisco Hilario Cedeño Troya

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n31p40

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Diego Báez Zarabanda
Universidad Autónoma de Bucaramanga, Colombia

Reviewer 2: Juan Carlos Rodríguez Rodríguez
Universidad de Almería, Spain

Reviewer 3: Evelin Edda Pinargote Junco
Instituto Superior Tecnológico Juan Bautista Aguirre, Ecuador

Reviewer 4: John Tobar Litardo
Instituto Superior Tecnológico Juan Bautista Aguirre, Ecuador

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Diego Báez Zarabanda	
University/Country: Universidad Autónoma de Bucaramanga	
Date Manuscript Received: Sept 11/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: Sept 20/2020
Manuscript Title: Experiencias formativas de uso didáctico con plataforma virtual para el aprendizaje de idioma inglés en estudiantes de la carrera mecánica industrial	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 91.08.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4

<i>El título evidencia el contenido del artículo.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>Si. Solo se realice una sugerencia en la redacción de un apartado. Adjunto paper.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2
<i>Si existen bastantes errores en redacción, sugeridos en el paper adjunto, que deben verse y ajustarse para buscar la publicación.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>No existe claridad respect de métodos empleados para mostrar resultados más contundentes.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	2
<i>Existen diferentes ajustes a realizar para buscar claridad en el artículo (adjunto paper con diferentes observaciones realizadas).</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
<i>Debe fortalecerse el cuerpo del artículo para mejorar las conclusiones y evidenciar la relevancia de la investigación.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>Al realizar los ajustes sugeridos en el artículo seguramente la bibliografía también cambiará.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	X
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

- Realice sugerencias de ajuste en la redacción al resumen y en diferentes partes y apartados del paper que considero debe mejorarse para poder ser publicado. Adjunto documento.
- Sugiero un abordaje riguroso al artículo basado en la investigación que de cuenta de la calidad de la investigación que NO es notoria en el paper.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Juan Carlos Rodríguez Rodríguez	
University/Country: Universidad de Almería	
Date Manuscript Received: 14/09/20	Date Review Report Submitted: 15/09/20
Manuscript Title: Experiencias formativas de uso didáctico con plataforma virtual para el aprendizaje de idioma inglés en estudiantes de la carrera mecánica industrial	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 91-08-2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>El título corresponde perfectamente con el contenido. Se ajusta a lo que los autores aporten con posterioridad.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>El contenido es correcto, explicándose de forma clara el contenido global del artículo.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Aunque la gramática empleada tiene algunos giros y expresiones típicas de español latino, el uso que se hace del idioma es correcto. Se emplea un lenguaje acorde al nivel académico y que es de fácil comprensión para el lector.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>Todo está perfectamente explicado.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>No hay errores graves en el texto.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>Las conclusiones son claras. Quizá algo extensas, pero muy contundentes e inspiradoras.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>Las Referencias usadas son muy apropiadas, tanto en las fechas como en los contenidos.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Me parece un buen artículo. Se realiza una buena revisión y las conclusiones son realmente útiles. La bibliografía empleada es muy actual y de contenido muy bueno y apropiado. Enhorabuena.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Evelin Edda Pinargote Junco	Email:
University/Country: Instituto Superior Tecnológico Juan Bautista Aguirre	
Date Manuscript Received: 13-08-2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 13-08-2020
Manuscript Title: Experiencias formativas de uso didáctico con plataforma virtual para el aprendizaje de idioma inglés en estudiantes de la carrera mecánica industrial	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0891/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	x
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

El artículo está dirigido al estudio de una carrera específica pero sería interesante que se investiguen los resultados en otras carreras de educación superior para determinar qué tan conveniente es la educación online en la asignatura inglesa.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: John Tobar Litardo	Email:
University/Country: Instituto Superior Tecnológico Juan Bautista Aguirre / Ecuador	
Date Manuscript Received: 13/8/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 13/8/2020
Manuscript Title: Experiencias formativas de uso didáctico con plataforma virtual para el aprendizaje de idioma inglés en estudiantes de la carrera mecánica industrial	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0891/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>El tema describe desde una óptica de la experiencia con los estudiantes en función</i>	

<i>del uso de una herramienta tecnológica por lo que para estos momentos de pandemia, como docente es de significancia conocer a fondo el criterio de los estudiantes en relación a las clases de modalidad virtual.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>En el resumen se describe claramente el problema, objetivo y justificación. Sin embargo, en la metodología describe de forma muy leve el desarrollo de la misma.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>No encuentro errores significativos en la gramática.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>Si describe claramente la muestral, el instrumento y el análisis de la recolección de información</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
<i>El cuerpo del artículo se apega a los parámetros determinados por la revista como también el contenido de la misma.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>Así como el análisis de resultados y las conclusiones se direccionan a la problemática y responden al objetivo del estudio.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>El tipo de referencias de acuerdo a su profundidad y número de las mismas fundamentan desde un punto de vista de marco teórico el presente estudio.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Las investigaciones como la vuestra son de relevante importancia de acuerdo a la emergencia sanitaria que vive el mundo, por lo que les invito a profundizar y replica este tipo de estudios en otras áreas o asignaturas pero no descuidando la perspectiva del estudiante y la concepción del docente.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: