Paper: **"Evaluación de la Virtualización del Aprendizaje y los Desafíos en la Educación Superior en el Instituto Superior Tecnológico Juan Bautista Aguirre"**

Corresponding Author: Marcel Méndez

Peer review:

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: **Francisco Javier Mejía Ochoa**	Email:
University/Country:**Tecnológico Nacional de México Campus Zongolica**	
Date Manuscript Received:**10/11/2020**	Date Review Report Submitted: **14/11/2020**
Manuscript Title: **EVALUATION OF THE VIRTUALIZATION OF LEARNING IN THE JUAN BAUTISTA AGUIRRE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND DETERMINATION OF CHALLENGES IN HIGHER EDUCATION.**	
ESJ Manuscript Number: **95.09.2020**	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: **Yes**	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:**Yes** You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:**Yes**	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	**5**
Yes *Si*	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	**5**
Yes *Si*	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**3**
Por favor, atiendan las observacionesrealizadas en el documento. *Please, heed the observations made in the document.*	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**5**
Si *Yes*	
5. The resultsare clear and do not contain errors.	**5**
Yes *Si*	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**5**
Yes *Si*	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**5**
Yes *Si*	

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	**X**
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Por favor, atiendan las observacionesrealizadas en el documento, buen artículo.

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Oruam Cadex Marichal Guevara	
University/Country: Universidad de Ciego de Ávila Máximo Gómez Báez	
Date Manuscript Received: 15/9/20	Date Review Report Submitted: 15/9/20
Manuscript Title: **EVALUACIÓN DE LA VIRTUALIZACIÓN DEL APRENDIZAJE EN EL INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TECNOLÓGICO JUAN BAUTISTA AGUIRRE Y LA DETERMINACIÓN DE LOS DESAFÍOS EN LA EDUCACIÓN SUPERIOR**	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 95.09.20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the	**5**

article.	
The title is appropriate	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	**5**
The summary is adequate	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**5**
No grammar mistakes	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**5**
Study methods are applied correctly	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	**5**
The body of the article is clear	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**5**
The conclusions are accurate	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**5**
References are appropriate	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	**X**
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 10-11-2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 13-11-2020
Manuscript Title: **EVALUACIÓN DE LA VIRTUALIZACIÓN DEL APRENDIZAJE EN EL INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TECNOLÓGICO JUAN BAUTISTA AGUIRRE Y LA DETERMINACIÓN DE LOS DESAFÍOS EN LA EDUCACIÓN SUPERIOR**	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0995/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	**4**
*Podría omitirse la palabra "**determinació**n" puesto que, al evaluar ya está inmerso como parte de sus actividades. ejemplo:* EVALUACIÓN DE LA VIRTUALIZACIÓN DEL APRENDIZAJE Y LOS DESAFÍOS EN LA EDUCACIÓN SUPERIOR EN EL INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TECNOLÓGICO JUAN BAUTISTA AGUIRRE.	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	**5**
Cumple con los apartados requeridos en el resumen.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**5**
Cumple con las sintaxis y reglas gramaticales	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**4**
Se aconseja mencionar en la metodología el grado de validez y confiabilidad del instrumento usado (encuesta) para esta investigación. .	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	**5**
Los resultados son bien explicados y detallados.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**4**
No es necesario que en el primer párrafo de las conclusiones repita la información descrita en los resultados, como es el mencionar la encuesta y demás, pero si sería de gran ayuda el mencionar el comparativo o contraste según sea el caso con la información investigada en el apartado de introducción.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**5**
Cuenta con todas las referencias y concuerda con las citas. Se recomienda el uso del parafraseo al momento de copiar la información citada.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	**X**
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Buen trabajo, se recomienda el uso de mayores instrumentos de recolección de datos para que sea más completa el análisis de la investigación.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: