

Paper: "Psychotherapeutic Effect of Using Songs and Music for the Promotion of Basic Education Among Adult Learners: An Analysis"

Submitted: 06 January 2019 Accepted: 02 July 2019

Published: 30 November 2020

Corresponding Author: Adeshina Abideen Olojede

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n31p210

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Oxana Bayer

Alfred Nobel University, Ukraine

Reviewer 2: Blinded

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Oxana Bayer			
University/Country: Alfred Nobel University / Ukraine			
Date Manuscript Received:Oct. 08, 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: Oct. 10, 2020		
	TIC EFFECT OF USING SONGS AND BASIC EDUCATION AMONG ADULT		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0176/19			
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No			
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No			
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <u>Yes/No</u>			

#### **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
Acknowledging the fact the empirical part of the research corresponds to the topic of	

the paper, I find some of the literature review misleading. It seems to provide some

extra context of the research and even give some textbook-like basics of what adult learning is. I believe the paper would benefit greatly if the author gave more information on the current state of affairs with particularly this topic.		
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4	
I believe it is possible to make the abstract more concise and structured.		
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4	
Minor corrections are needed.		
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4	

The text of the paper has references to comparisons of groups A and B but there are no calculations provided.		
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4	
I mentioned above some extra misleading massive of theoretical information and lack of calculations which are altogether misleading.		
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4	
Unfortunately, I cannot make judgements as for conclusions as I cannot trace how they were made.		
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5	
Some very minor revisions are needed with accordance to unified standards of references formatting.		

### **Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Dear author, congratulations on a good research you have done! Accept my sheer respect to all the work of yours. I believe there are some minor changes that need to be done to make your research more readable and respectable.

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**