EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL



YEARS

Submitted: 17 October 2020 Accepted: 01 December 2020 Published: 31 December 2020

Corresponding Author: Mehrasa Nikandish

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n36p18

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Igbokwe, Innocent Chiawa, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria

Reviewer 2: Blinded

## **ESJ** Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Email:			
University/Country: Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria			
Date Review Report Submitted: 10/27/2020			
Manuscript Title: Healthcare Management of Comorbid Diseases During COVID -19			
ESJ Manuscript Number:			
t			

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <u>Yes</u>/No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:  $\underline{Yes}/No$ 

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No

### **Evaluation Criteria:**

# Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result[Poor]1-5[Excellent]
<b>1.</b> The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	
(Please insert your comments)	
<b>3.</b> There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
There are few grammatical errors	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	
No method was discussed	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
But it can be more coherently ordered	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
The conclusion is supported by the content	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
Yet, the references must be reworked to follow the rules of a	particular referencing

style

### **Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

To assist the author(s) in revising his/her/their manuscript, please separate your remarks into two sections:

- (1) Suggestions, which would improve the quality of the paper but are not essential for publication.
  - ✓ Remember to put a full stop before you begin another sentence.
  - ✓ Don't mix up COVID -19 (the disease) with Coronavirus (the virus) that causes COVID-19.
  - ✓ Always write COVID -19 in capital letters.
  - ✓ When several sources are cited parenthetically, they are ordered alphabetically by first authors' surnames and separated by semicolon. Reflect this in all the citations.
  - $\checkmark$  Rework the Reference section
  - $\checkmark$  Use same line spacing throughout the citation
  - $\checkmark$  Let your reference follow any known reference style
  - $\checkmark$  You do not italicise everything in the citation
  - $\checkmark$  You do not italicise the name of the author
  - ✓ You do not italicise both the title of the paper and the journal cited at the same time
- (2) Changes which must be made before publication