Manuscript: **"Prevention of SQL Injection Attack Using Blockchain Key pair based on Stellar"**

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Wang Hongfeng	
University/Country: Dezhou College, China	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	**4**
(Please insert your comments) **The title was well presented and adequate to the content of the article**	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	**4**
(Please insert your comments **The abstract is succinct enough for the content of the article**	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**4**
(Please insert your comments) **The authors were very careful in their use of grammar**	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**4**
(Please insert your comments) **The method used is a novel one and was properly explained**	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	**3**
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**5**

(Please insert your comments)	
Brilliant summary from the authors, it captured everything the authors did in the research	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**5**
(Please insert your comments) *The authors showed that they consulted enough references while doing this research*	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation)：

Accepted, no revision needed	**x**
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The body of the paper could be improved to have some more chronological structure.

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. A. F. Thompson	
University/Country: Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria	
Date Manuscript Received:26/8/2020	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Research on Prevention of SQL Injection Attack Using Blockchain Key pair based on Stellar	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0907/20	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	**4**
Prevention of SQL Injection Attack Using Blockchain Key pair based on Stellar is suggested	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	**3**
Yes.Although, it is not succinct. This should be reviewed. See the attached manuscript	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**3**
Very view grammatical errors. Colloquialism exists. Sentences should be reviewed with scientific writing styles.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**4**
Clear and detailed explanation is given	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	**3**
Yes. The related works section should be coherent.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**4**
Yes4	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	

yes

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation)：

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	✓
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

As much as possible scientific writing style should be adopted rather than spoken English adoption. Other and detailed comments are in the attached manuscript.

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. Evelio Velis	
University/Country: Barry University, USA	
Date Manuscript Received: Oct/18/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: Oct/20/2020
Manuscript Title: Prevention of SQL Injection Attack Using Blockchain Key pair based on Stellar	
ESJ Manuscript Number: Paper for review 0904/20. There was not a specific number in the Manuscript.	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	**4**
(Please insert your comments) The Abstract should be better structured. The authors might want to subdivide the Abstract by sections (Methods, Results, Conclusions, etc.).	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**4**
(Please insert your comments) See my comments below.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation)：

Accepted, no revision needed	

Accepted, minor revision needed	**X**
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

To assist the author(s) in revising his/her/their manuscript, please separate your remarks into two sections:

(1)   Suggestions, which would improve the quality of the paper but are not essential for publication.

(2)   Changes which must be made before publication
See comments below.