

Manuscript: “Cancer Du Sein Inflammatoire Chez La Femme Camerounaise”

**Submitted: 03 November 2020**

**Accepted: 09 December 2020**

**Published: 31 December 2020**

Corresponding Author: Zaré Cyprien Belemilga G. L. Hermann

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n36p285

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** KOUTORA Biréga,  
Université de Lomé/Togo

**Reviewer 2:** SETO Djifid Morel,  
University of Abomey-calavi/ Benin

**Reviewer 3:** GERALDO Raouf F.,  
Université de Lomé / Togo

## ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: <b>KOUTORA Biréga</b>	
University/Country: <b>Université de Lomé/Togo</b>	

Date Manuscript Received: <b>17 Novembre 2020</b>	Date Review Report Submitted: <b>24 Novembre 2020</b>
Manuscript Title: <b>CANCER DU SEIN INFLAMMATOIRE CHEZ LA FEMME CAMEROUNAISE</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: <b>11113/20</b>	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

### Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Intégrer les observations faites dans le texte</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Intégrer les observations faites dans le texte</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Confère les observations faites dans le texte</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Intégrer les observations faites dans le texte</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Confère les observations faites dans le texte</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>

<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3,5</b>
<i>Références appropriées. Cependant elles ne sont pas écrites selon les recommandations de la revue ESJ</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	<b>X</b>
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):** *Intégrer dans la rédaction de l'article, la règle de citation et d'écriture des références selon les recommandations de la revue ESJ*

## ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name:	Email:
University/Country:	
Date Manuscript Received: 16 November 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 25 November 2020

Manuscript Title: <b>CANCER DU SEIN INFLAMMATOIRE CHEZ LA FEMME CAMEROUNAISE</b>
ESJ Manuscript Number: 113.11.2020
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes

### Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

None

## ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: GERALDO Raouf F.	Email:
University/Country: Université de Lomé / Togo	
Date Manuscript Received: 20/11/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 27/11/2020
Manuscript Title: <b>CANCER DU SEIN INFLAMMATOIRE CHEZ LA FEMME CAMEROUNAISE</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <b>Yes</b> /No	

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: **Yes/No**

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: **Yes/No**

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Article très intéressant et bien rédigé. Quelques erreurs de forme à corriger.