

Paper: “**Desarrollo Empresarial y Capital Humano en la región Sureste de Coahuila**”

**Submitted: 20 November 2020**

**Accepted: 18 December 2020**

**Published: 31 December 2020**

Corresponding Author: Edith Reyes Ruiz

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2020.v16n34p184

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Uriel Alejandro Morales Carrera  
Instituto Tecnológico Superior de Zongolica, Mexico

## ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Date Manuscript Received: 3-12-2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 10-12-2020
Manuscript Title: Desarrollo Empresarial y Capital Humano en la región Sureste de Coahuila	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1222/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>El título presenta correspondencia con el contenido el artículo y lo describe adecuadamente.</b>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>El resumen no presenta claridad y secuencia lógica. El objetivo, el método y los resultados no están debidamente diferenciados.</b>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>La redacción es confusa, en partes incongruente y deficientemente estructurada.</b>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Los métodos no son claros. La redacción actual no proporciona información suficiente para su comprensión.</b>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Los resultados no están completos ya que faltan datos en una columna P de la tabla 2.</b>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>La redacción de las conclusiones dificulta apreciar la precisión y el respaldo con el contenido.</b>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Las referencias en general se comprenden y son adecuadas al contenido.</b>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

- Se recomienda depurar el resumen y hacerlo más conciso.
- Se recomienda revisar todos los párrafos y corregir la estructura y puntuación.
- Se recomienda incorporar los datos faltantes.
- Se recomienda proporcionar una explicación más detallada.
- Se recomienda volver a escribir las conclusiones.
- Se recomienda cambiar la referencia señalada en el documento.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

## ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Uriel Alejandro Morales Carrera	
University/Country: Instituto Tecnológico Superior de Zongolica	
Date Manuscript Received:03/12/20	Date Review Report Submitted: 07/12/20
Manuscript Title: Desarrollo Empresarial y Capital Humano en la región Sureste de Coahuila	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <b>Yes/No</b>
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <b>Yes/No</b>
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <b>Yes/No</b>

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Considero con base al texto que el título podría ser “Análisis relacional del desarrollo empresarial y el capital humano en la región suroeste de Coahuila”</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Si los menciona, sin embargo, creo que escribe de forma anidada, es decir una oraciones en un párrafo de ideas diferentes, anexo los comentarios en el documento en extenso.</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>No hay errores ortográficos.</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Entiendo que la investigación es cuantitativa, por que el instrumento al parecer es un cuestionario, sólo que no se precisa, si bien mencionan los concepto de cada una de las variables, creo que una forma más simple de presentarlo, es por medio de una tabla donde pongas la categoría, el nombre de la variables y el tipo de variable.</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Presenta un correcto análisis estadístico.</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Algunos párrafos no estan redactados de forma clara, es decir las ideas parecen un poco desordenadas o sin lógica, sin embargo, ordenando las ideas resaltarían sus hallazgos.</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Me parece bien la literatura citada, aunque creo que podría existir material más reciente.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Estimados colegas espero mis comentarios esten a la altura de sus expectativas, recordandoles que los comentarios aquí señalados y en el documento en extenso, son con la finalidad de contribuir en la mejora de su trabajo de investigación, nunca un juicio de valor o crítica a su valioso producto académico.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**