

Manuscript: "Improvement Of Graves' Ophthalmopathy After Administration Of The Cyclooxygenase-2 Selective Inhibitor Celecoxib: A Case-Report"

Submitted: 30 October 2020 Accepted: 08 January 2021 Published: 31 January 2021

Corresponding Author: Adriana Gherbon

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n3p1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Luis Aliaga, University of Granada, Spain

Reviewer 2: Cinaria Tarik Albadri,

Trinity College Dublin University, Ireland

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- • Yes
- [©] No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- O No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- O No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)



The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)



There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)



The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)



The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)



The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)



The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)



Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- ° 1
- 0 2
- • 3
- 🖰 4
- 6 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- • 1
- 🖖 2
- 0 3
- . 0 1
- . 0 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- . 0
- 🖲 2
- U 4

•	~ 5
	Please rate the METHODS of this paper.
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
	*
•	\circ 1
•	• 2
•	O 3
•	° 4
•	° 5
	Please rate the BODY of this paper.
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
	*
	0 1
	• 2
	\circ 3
	\circ 4
•	0 5
•	3
	Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
	*
•	\circ 1
•	• 2
•	0 3
•	° 4
•	0 5
	Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]


- . •
- . 0 2
- • 3
- 0 4
- 0 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- © Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):



You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- 🖲 Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:
*
YesNo
The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.
*
(Please insert your comments)
▼ →
The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.
*
(Please insert your comments)
There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.
(Please insert your comments)
The study METHODS are explained clearly.

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

Yes No (Please insert your comments)



The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)



The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)



The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)



Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

	00000	3 4
	Plea	se rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
	[Poo	or] 1-5 [Excellent]
	*	
	0000	3
	Plea	se rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.
	[Poo	or] 1-5 [Excellent]
	*	
•	0000	
	Plea	se rate the METHODS of this paper.
	[Poo	or] 1-5 [Excellent]
	*	
•	0000	1 2 3 4 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

	*	
•	0	1
•	\circ	2
•	\circ	3
•	0	4
•	•	5
	Ple	ase rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
	[Po	or] 1-5 [Excellent]
	*	
_	0	1
•	0	2
•	0	
•	0	
•	•	5
	Ple	ase rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
	[Po	or] 1-5 [Excellent]
	*	
•	0	1
•	\circ	2
•	•	3
•	\circ	4
•	0	5
	Ove	erall Recommendation!!!
	*	
•	\circ	Accepted, no revision needed
•	•	Accepted, minor revision needed
•	0	Return for major revision and resubmission
•	\circ	Reject
	Cor	nments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

