

Paper: “Gestion Therapeutique Des Entorses Et Fractures "Nikary" Chez Le Peuple Senoufo De La Region Du Poro (Nord De La Côte D’ivoire)”

Submitted: 03 December 2020

Accepted: 11 January 2021

Published: 31 January 2021

Corresponding Author: Silue Donakpo

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n1p295

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Gnamien Konan Bah Modeste
Universite Jean Lorougnon Guede, Daloa Cote d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Tafah Edokat O. Edward
University of Bamenda, Cameroon

Reviewer 3: Alexis Ndabarushimana
National School of Administration, Burundi

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: GNAMIEN KONAN BAH MODESTE	Email:
University/Country: UNIVERSITE JEAN LOROUGNON GUEDE – DALOA COTE D’IVOIRE	
Date Manuscript Received: 04/12/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 20/12/2020
Manuscript Title : GESTION THERAPEUTIQUE DES ENTORSES ET FRACTURES "NIKARY" CHEZ LE PEUPLE SENOUFU DE LA REGION DU PORO (NORD DE LA CÔTE D’IVOIRE.	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1281/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the published version of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
------------------	--

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
Le titre est Claire et est conforme au coontenu de l'article.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
Le résumé est bien élaboré mais veuillez préciser le mode d'échantillonnage, les théories et techniques d'analyse utilisée.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
Les erreurs gramaticales sont moindres	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
Veuillez, formuler des objectifs de recherche et préciser les théories qui ont été mobilisés et pourquoi. Evoquer également les aspects Ethiques.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
Les résultats sont claires et pertinents.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
La conclusion et le résumé reprennent fidèlement le contenu.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3.
Les références sont compréhensives et appropriées	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

J'encourage les auteurs à prendre en compte toutes les observations pour améliorer davantage le document.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Merci pour la confiance renouvelée.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Prof. Alexis Ndabarushimana	
University/Country: National School of Administration / Burundi	
Date Manuscript Received: 10 th December 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 11 th December 2020
Manuscript Title: GESTION THERAPEUTIQUE DES ENTORSES ET FRACTURES "NIKARY" CHEZ LE PEUPLE SENOULO DE LA REGION DU PORO (NORD DE LA CÔTE D'IVOIRE)	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1281/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes / No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes / No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
------------------	----------------------

	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>Ok (Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>Ok (Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Ok (Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>Ok (Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>Ok (Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>Ok (Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
<i>le style APA non utilisé.</i> <i>ECRIRE EN ITALIQUE LES TITRES DES OUVRAGES ET DES REVUES</i> <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	XXXXXX
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

L'article est très intéressant.

Il faut te référer aux observations dans le texte et soumettre la version finale

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: