EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL 🔅 ESI

Paper: "Election Violence and Voter Turnout in 2019 General Elections: What Role for Political Parties?"

Submitted: 05 November 2020 Accepted: 18 January 2021 Published: 31 January 2021

Corresponding Author: Yahaya Yakubu

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n2p137

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Dejan Marolov European Scientific Institute, ESI

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dejan Marolov			
University/Country:			
Date Manuscript Received: 29.12.2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 02.01.2021		
Manuscript Title: Election Violence and What Role for Political Parties?	d Voter Turnout in 2019 General Elections:		
ESJ Manuscript Number:			
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes			
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes			
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes			

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2

I would suggest modification of the title especially in the second clearer.	ond part in order to be
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
Overall very solid academic abstract.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	
No grammatical and spelling mistakes however there are son benefit from proofreading. Ex. On page 12:	ne parts that can
"(Civil Society Situation Room, 2019) It is, however, "the	ere are two commas.
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
Yes there are in a special section.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
The conclusion could be longer. Additionally, the conclusion post-colonial theory" – However, this theory has not been exp before in the text.	*
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	
The references are appropriate. However, the article can berr references from independent international observer organiza	0 0

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Very interesting article. Having on mind the sensitivity of the topic I suggest adding more references from independent international observer organizations in order to support your claims.

Any claims should be supported by literature or relevant reports. For ex. On page. 4, the authors simply claims: More often than, electoral

violence are not spontaneous acts of violence, they are premeditated acts of violence deployed by political parties (incumbent and opposition) if it increases their chances at the polls.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: