

Manuscript: "Why Are Arabic Adult Learners in Kuwait Afraid of Making

Mistakes in Their English Classroom?"

Submitted: 17 March Accepted: 21 April 2021 Published: 30 April 2021

Corresponding Author: Farah Dashti

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n12p15

Peer review:

Reviewer 1:Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Saltanat Meiramova, S.Seifullin Agro Technical University, Kazakhstan

Reviewer 4: Nino Kemertelidze, Grigol Robakidze University, Georgia

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

• Yes

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- O No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- Yes
- O No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The abstract clearly presents problem of the discussed topic, indicate method to be used and shows findings of the paper under review. But the object of the paper is not indicated clearly.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. (Please insert your comments)

There is no any grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in the article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The study method is explained shortly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The body of the paper is good and clear without any mistakes.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes, the conclusion is accurate and supported by the content, though there is no any linking words there.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate. Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa. (Please insert your comments) There is no references indicated in the abstract. Please rate the TITLE of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 1 2 \circ 3 • Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 1 3 Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 1 \circ Please rate the METHODS of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 1 3 (

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] *		
• ° 1		
• © 2		
• 0 3		
• • 4		
• 6 5		
Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.		
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] *		
• 0 1		
• 0 2		
• • 3		
• 6 4		
• 6 5		
Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] *		
• ° 1		
• • 2		
. 0 3		
. 0 4		
• ° 5		
Overall Recommendation!!!		
Accepted, no revision needed		
Accepted, minor revision needed		
• Return for major revision and resubmission		
• Reject		
Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): to add some linking words to the body of the abstract and indicate what method is used.		
EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL SESI DE SERVICION DE L'ACRES DE L'ACRE		

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website.

However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

Yes
 No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- O No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- YesNo
- The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

(Please insert your comments)

The title is absolutely clear and adequate to the content of the article. Moreover, the authors of the article touch a very crucial problem. In order to learn any language well, practice is of utmost importance. If learners do not talk because of their fear to make mistakes, they will never study any language. The authors mention that they are afraid of making mistakes in their classrooms and as one reason they mention the fact that teachers are laughing at them what is absolutely forbidden.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The abstract meets the requirement criteria. It almost fully renders what the content of the paper. While reading the abstract, a reader already realizes the basic issues that are described in the article in details.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

The language is good enough.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Excellent. The most impressive thing is that the study method was carried out through a true experimental research. The experiment is described in details.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The architectonics of the paper is really noteworthy. The text is built in such a way each part is logically linked with another. The crucial ideas and the experimental part are proved and strengthened by different figures which are perfectly done.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

In the conclusion, the authors clearly discuss what the study reveals. Moreover, they even give some recommendations what is a "pearl" of the paper.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa. (Please insert your comments)

The bibliography comprises contemporary researches as well as those of XX century. It includes 23 references what is quite an acceptable number. The references are listed in alphabetic order.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ° 1
- . 0 2
- ° 3
- 0 4
- . 🖲 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- \bullet \circ $_1$
- 0 2
- 0 3
- . 0 /
- . 🖲 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ^U 1
- 🖰 2
- 0 3
- 0 4
- . 🖲 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

•	0	1	
•	\circ	2	
•	\circ	3	
•	\circ	4	
•	•	5	
Please rate the BODY of this paper.			
[Poor]	1-5	[Excellent]	
	0	1	
_	0		
•	0		
•	0		
•	•	5	
Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.			
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]			
*			
	0	1	
•		2	
•	0		
•	0	4	
•	•	5	
Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper. [Doord 1.5 [Eventlant]]			
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]			
•	\circ	1	
•	0	2	
•	\circ	3	
•	0	4	
•	•	5	
Overall Recommendation!!! *			
•	•	Accepted, no revision needed	
•	\circ	Accepted, minor revision needed	
•	APPL	Return for major revision and resubmission	
•	\circ	Reject	
Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):			
		paper very much. It is really a crucial problem. Thank you for such a good king forward to receiving other papers from you.	
1 T			

EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL by European Scientific Institute

) YEARS