

Manuscript: “**Ansiedad en Estudiantes del Nivel Medio Superior Universitario y Estrategias de Afrontamiento**”

**Submitted: 1 February 2021**

**Accepted: 13 April 2021**

**Published: 30 April 2021**

Corresponding Author: Alma Verena Solis Solis

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n12p73

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Jorge Armando Acosta De Lira, Universidad Autónoma de Zacatecas, México

**Reviewer 2:** Blanca de la Luz Fernández Heredia, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo/Morelia, Michoacán, México

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Jorge Armando Acosta De Lira	
University/Country: Universidad Autónoma de Zacatecas/México	
Date Manuscript Received: 02/11/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 02/12/2021
Manuscript Title: Ansiedad en estudiantes del nivel medio superior universitario y estrategias de afrontamiento	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0258/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No Yes	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>

<i>The variables that are made explicit in the title are fully addressed in the content.</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>The results are not stated in the abstract. It is suggested to include the main.</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Contains some syntactic errors, redundancies, omission of words and letters.</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Each one of the procedures used is detailed.</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>There is coherence and clarity in the data presented, which are closely related to the objectives of the research.</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The conclusions are appropriate to the objectives of the study.</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Sufficient but not comprehensive sources are used on the subject in question.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

They employ an interesting design in which they use different treatments to wisely achieve the research objectives. However, it is suggested to review details in the writing, where they use the same word several times within the same paragraph, omit some letters within words and add double spaces. Also, review the citations in which *y et al.*

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Blanca de la Luz Fernández Heredia	Email:
University/Country: Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo/Morelia, Michoacán, México	
Date Manuscript Received: <b>02/11/2021</b>	Date Review Report Submitted: <b>02/18/2021</b>
Manuscript Title: <b>Ansiedad en estudiantes del nivel medio superior universitario y estrategias de afrontamiento</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: <b>0258/21</b>	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:    Yes/No---- No (somos colegas de trabajo académico).	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:    Yes/No ---Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:    Yes/No ----- Yes	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <b>Sí, el título del artículo es claro y adecuado al contenido del artículo</b>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

<b>El resumen es claro, aunque no señala el objetivo del estudio</b>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<p><b>Sí existen errores gramaticales y ortográficos en varias de las páginas de este artículo, así como errores de “dedo” y omisión de palabras que impiden su adecuada lectura y comprensión (p. 2, 3, 4) Como se señala en la revisión del artículo con marcas de color amarillo.</b></p>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<p><b>No, hay ausencias de información que no permiten entender con claridad cómo se llevó a cabo el procedimiento de aplicación, ni de recogida de datos, además que hay sub apartados del Método que están mal ubicados, como se menciona en los comentarios que se hicieron en la revisión del artículo. No se explicitan con claridad los instrumentos empleados.</b></p>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<p><b>Aunque los datos obtenidos son buenos, no se sabe con claridad cómo se obtuvieron éstos, ni por qué se pasa de una muestra total de 1814 a 112... Tomando además en consideración que el instrumento empleado para la recolección de la información sobre Ansiedad y Depresión es muy viejo (Zung, 1965).</b></p>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<p><b>A pesar de las dificultades metodológicas, las conclusiones parecen mostrarse con cierta claridad, pero no son acompañadas algunas afirmaciones con referencias de investigaciones teóricas o estudios empíricos, lo cual podría ser necesario para dar mayor sustento a los resultados encontrados en este estudio.</b></p>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<p>La mayoría de las referencias empleadas son buenas y muestran actualidad de este siglo (2000), pero se hace la observación nuevamente de que el instrumento central de esta investigación que mide la ansiedad y depresión es mayor a 50 años.</p>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	<b>X</b>
Reject	

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

El artículo aborda una problemática que es de actualidad, y por ende es muy conveniente su exploración. No obstante, se sugiere tener mucho cuidado con la redacción, que considero es la mayor debilidad en este artículo, pues se observan ausencias y repeticiones de palabras, errores de “dedo” que hacen difícil su comprensión.

Se sugiere ubicar de manera adecuada los sub apartados que componen el apartado de la Metodología, ya que eso facilitaría una mayor comprensión del desarrollo del trabajo.

Se sugiere entrelazar las conclusiones obtenidas en el estudio, haciendo citas de paráfrasis o textuales, las cuales deberán de realizarse tomando en cuenta los criterios de citación que señala la editorial de esta revista. Pero si son bajo el formato del APA, entonces deberá de tomar en consideración las normas de la versión empleada.