Manuscript: **Actions to Perfect Integrating Evaluation in The Training Of Physical Education Teachers"**

Corresponding Author: Isaac Alejandro Aquino Davila

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Shadab Uddin	Email:
University/Country: Jazan University/Saudi Arabia	

Date Manuscript Received: 29/05/2020	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: ACTIONS TO PERFECT INTEGRATING EVALUATION IN THE TRAINING OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION TEACHERS	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0317/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	**5**
Title of the article correspond to the the content of the article	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	**4**

As though abstract shows objective, methods and result but need little more elaborative on methodology but still looks great .need to change the font type with respect of article.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**4**
Only few passive voice sentences and punctuation issue, rest all is fine.(that can be overlook)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**5**
Well explained.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	**4**
A good written with the supporting idea of content of the article.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**5**
As by the result, the conclusion and discussion seems appropriate and fully support the content of the research.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**4**
Adequate.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation)：

Accepted, no revision needed	**Yes**
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
NA

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Pr Georges Kpazaï, Ph.D.	Email:
University/Country: Canada	
Date Manuscript Received: August 5th, 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: August 6th, 2020
Manuscript Title: ACTIONS TO PERFECT INTEGRATING EVALUATION IN THE TRAINING OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION TEACHERS	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0317/20	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/**No**	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: **Yes**/No You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: **Yes**/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
Acceptable	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4

OK	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**3**
Many sentences are too long. To be reduced.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**3**
See the text.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	**3**
OK	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**2**
The main results of the research, the limits of the study and the statement of future research (avenues for future research) are not yet clearly identified. If it is very important for you to indicate them in your manuscript.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**3**
OK	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation)：

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	**X**
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Several important indications are still missing in your manuscript. See my suggestions for improvement in the text.

In general, clearly indicate the questions and objectives of your research. In addition, it will be better to rewrite the methodological framework and the conclusion.